

# History -- what it can teach us.

You probably find it outrageous to accuse governments of willingly sacrificing their citizens on the altar of power. It is a reliance on this conviction that constitutes the primary camouflage for such a heinous operation -- but there are many examples. Here's a small selection:

## Operation Northwoods

was a plot that the Joint Chiefs of Staff presented Kennedy's Secretary of Defense McNamara in 1962. It was intended to fabricate a pretext for the invasion of Cuba and, besides domestic bombings and the sinking of US warships, included plans to charter an airliner, occupy it with government agents, switch it with an identical, but remote controlled plane in flight, and then detonate it in Cuban airspace shortly after dispatching a MayDay signal. The agents would then go in hiding.

You can imagine the indignation had Cuba supposedly shot down a plane full of American tourists completely without provocation.

Kennedy rejected the plan and it was never executed. Afterwards, he fired the Chairman of the JCS, General Lyman Lemnitzer, and intended to dissolve the CIA -- about a year later, he was dead.

Think about it: Would the JCS have proposed this plan if they were not confident they could pull it off?

## Operation Gladio

is the generic term for multiple separate groups under NATO authority, which maintained secret "stay behind" armies in several European countries. They were initially meant to organize paramilitary resistance in case of a Soviet invasion, but when it failed to appear, they were ordered to stage communist terror instead.

The majority of terrorist acts in Europe since the end of WW2 were the work of Gladio, among them the Oktoberfest bombing in 1980, the murder of the Italian Prime Minister Aldo Moro in 1978, the murder of General Carlo Alberto Della Chiesa, the Italian head of anti-terrorism (oh, the irony) in 1982 and the massacre of Bologna in 1980, which cost the lives of 85 people and wounded over 200 more -- and all that just to play "politics".

In the aftermath of the Italian bloodbath, the operation was eventually exposed, because some insiders talked. The *free and critical* "liberal" media however failed to mention any discovery of Gladio's existence.

Wonder what else they might have failed to mention?

## A list of additional deceptions:

Der Reichstagsbrand, Berlin, 1933. Pretext for the Enabling Act. (Nowadays: "PATRIOT Act")

Attack on the Broadcast Station Gleiwitz, German-Polish border, 1939. "We're returning fire since 5 o'clock"

Attack on Pearl Harbor, 1941. American intelligence had cracked the Japanese codes and knew of the imminent attack. Roosevelt did not intervene, though, because he wanted a pretext for participation of the isolationist USA in WW2.

Gulf of Tonkin incident, Vietnam, 1964. An imaginary Vietnamese attack on two US destroyers justified the escalation of the Vietnam War.

Nurse Nayirah, World Press, 1990. The daughter of Kuwait's ambassador to the US pretends to be a Kuwaiti nurse and emotionally reports of Iraqi soldiers taking babies out of incubators and dropping them on the floor to die. Later, it turned out that the dreadful story was devised by Hill & Knowlton, a PR-company out of Houston, Texas, and specifically designed for maximum emotional impact. It substantially fostered support for the first Gulf War.

Iraqi W.M.D, World Press & UNSC, 2003.

And...history repeating within only 4 years: Iranian nukes, WP, 2007.

NIST

Popular  
Mechanics



Science for sale.

The NIST, Popular Mechanics and FEMA have willingly prostituted themselves to lend the government's story an air of scientific authority. For this purpose, commanding lists of academic insignia are presented -- but only to paper over the cracks in their rational and scientific lines of "reasoning". Those cracks are canyons. A few examples:

They didn't investigate the collapse dynamics at all. Instead, only hypothetical conditions for so called "collapse initiation" were simulated within blackbox computer models, after results from real-world fire tests on replicas of the floor assemblies yielded unfavorable results: The assemblies did not collapse even after 3.5 hours of heating beyond 1800°F -- the absolute maximum attainable by atmospheric hydrocarbon fires -- and under maximum specified live load. Neither the mode of operation nor the input variables of these blackbox models are public, so they might as well have said: "It is like we claim it is!" and called it a Diebold. It would in effect have been just as substantiated -- which is to say not at all!

Furthermore, they deny the existence of molten steel/iron in the rubble, despite its confirmation by many sources, for want of a plausible explanation within the bounds of their theory. This is the very definition of anti-science! Moreover, they don't offer any plausible theory now that the "pancake theory" has been put *ad acta*, and have postponed the explanation of the collapse of WTC7 to the day of Christ's second coming. Over 10.000 pages of NIST report and not one sensible explanation -- imagine that!

*"This report, by its very length, defends itself against being read."*  
--Winston Churchill

But NIST is no monolithic organization, and to its defense it should be noted that their metallurgists publically stated that they found no traces of heating of (albeit preselected) columns >250°C for all but 3 out of 163 available for tests.