

9/11 Essays



About This Document

Imagine being assigned the task of having to describe to a blind person what colors look like. While “color” is a simple concept to those who can perceive colors with their vision, the problem involved with conveying this concept through the medium of language to one who cannot directly experience color is readily apparent.

Now imagine that this blind person actually has the ability to see, but has voluntarily chosen instead to keep his eyes closed his entire life.

And now he would like you to explain “colors” to him so he can understand what they are. Good luck with that.

Your suggestion to him that he simply open his eyes and see them for himself, to directly acquire the firsthand knowledge, to intimately and personally experience what colors are in order to truly understand them, well...

That is a bit too scary for him. After all, he has lived his entire life with his eyes closed and has done just fine, thank you very much.

Do you actually expect him to throw away everything he has learned about living his life with closed eyes and rebuild his entire worldview model based on all the new information that will be afforded him courtesy of his new vision simply in order to understand the concept “color”?

Why on Earth would he want to do that?

The essays that make up this document are not primarily focused on presenting evidence that supports the theory that 9/11 was an inside job. That evidence is so abundant and has been presented so many times and in so many ways that to restate it here would be redundant.

These essays attempt instead to persuade the skeptical reader to simply “open his eyes” and investigate the subject for himself. The simple concepts that compose the truth about 9/11 are difficult to express through the written or spoken word, but very easy to understand through firsthand, personal experience. Psychological barriers must be overcome. Cognitive dissonance must be resolved through critical thinking, not by sliding down the path of least resistance. Fear must be subdued. The consequences of not doing so are touched upon in some of the writings, as is the urgency of opening eyes on a grand scale against a ticking clock.

As long as eyes remain closed, the color of truth remains inconceivable and completely unimaginable.

For the skeptical:

However unlikely—if not utterly ridiculous—the idea of 9/11 being an inside job seems, you nonetheless need to take a look. However counter-intuitive and logic-defying the proposition seems, you must be persuaded to take just a little peek for yourself. Temporarily suspend your disbelief and set aside your common sense.

The case for 9/11 being an inside job is undeniably strong. The case for 9/11 being a conspiracy having been plotted and executed solely by foreign terrorists as purported by the 9/11 Commission is insupportably weak. It's really that simple.

Just open your eyes and take a look. Don't be afraid: You can do it. There are many thousands, if not millions, waiting to welcome you to the side of Truth.

And the Truth is filled with so many beautiful colors, not to be missed.

9/11 Essays

Exposing the 9/11 Conspiracy Wingnuts1
Bill Douglas

9/11 Was a Conspiracy4
Butler Shaffer

They Wouldn't Do That.6
George Washington

The Psychology Of Patriotic Denial7
Tova Gabrielle

Gullible Americans10
Paul Craig Roberts

9/11 Gatekeepers Muzzle Truth Toward Societal Dumbing-down12
by Michael Hey

Deprogramming the Bush Cult: Understanding 9/11 Anxiety, Avoiding a National Jonestown13
Kevin Barrett

(StartThink)15
tzo

9/11: Living In the Matrix18
Peter Zaza

DENIAL—The 51st State20
Paul Levy

The Unearthing: An Awakening Has Arrived23
Manuel Valenzuela

Exposing the 9/11 Conspiracy Wingnuts

Bill Douglas

I began researching the mainstream media coverage of the controversy regarding the attacks of 9/11/2001, when reading an article in the Milwaukee Journal Sentinel Newspaper, dated June 29th, 2006. It was titled, "Sept. 11 claim stirs UW probe— Instructor says U.S. planned the attacks to provoke war." This led to my discovery of some wild conspiracy theorists that endanger our government and media establishments, with quite frankly insane assertions. I'll address this in full in the final paragraph.

Then by using a "google video 9/11" search, I recently viewed a FOX News interview on Hannity and Colmes with an Arab Studies teacher from the University of Wisconsin named Kevin Barrett. I had earlier seen an interview with another, a professor named James Fetzer, University of Minnesota Duluth. A few weeks earlier I had seen an interview on MSNBC Scarborough country interviewing a Mike Berger representing 911Truth.org.

Some of these guests referred to an organization called "Scholars for 9/11 Truth" with a website www.st911.org, which offered a physics research paper questioning the official explanation of the events of 9/11/2001. While visiting this site, I read that they pointed to the temperatures of the fires in the WTC buildings, and construction of the buildings, and the speed they fell, as evidence they claimed proved that what we saw on 9/11/2001 when the towers fell had to have been the result of a controlled demolition. Like the ones we've seen with Las Vegas hotels being brought down. Their claim was that the WTC buildings could not have been caused solely by the aircraft hitting the WTC buildings that day.

Then, I contacted the office of a Wisconsin State Legislator, Rep. Stephen Nass (R-Whitewater), and asked to speak to someone in the office who could speak on this issue. I asked if he was familiar with the Scholars for 9/11 Truth website, and he replied they had learned of it this week. I asked him if he and the Representative could comment on the charge that the fires on 9/11/2001 in the WTC buildings did not burn hot enough to bring down the buildings, and if he'd read the scholars organization's charge that thermate traces had been found on debris from the fallen towers (thermate indicating demolition type explosives were involved). The gentleman responded that no, they had not looked at this information, and this would not be something they would look at, further indicating that anyone who made such charges was blinded by their hatred of President Bush.

Which leads back to the interviews of guests on the three television news programs. The main theme of all three of the guests on these programs appeared to be concern of the physical evidence of 9/11/2001, mentioned above and particularly regarding the collapse of three of the World Trade Center buildings on that day.

The main themes of the interviewers on these programs appeared to be two-fold:

- 1) The guests were representing a fringe movement, and most Americans do not dispute the official 9/11 explanation of the 19 hijackers defeating US military and intelligence forces on 9/11/2001.
- 2) The guests and those they speak for, who question the official 9/11/2001 account, are of questionable sanity.

This motivated me to do some research. First I looked at the fringe movement issue that the majority of Americans disagreed with the programs guests and accept the official explanation, and secondly, the sanity and expertise of people like their guests who question the official story of 9/11/2001.

First, regarding the fringe issue, asserting that the guests questioning the events of 9/11 reflected a small minority of American opinion. I looked at the only polls I could find on these questions, and the results were surprising. A CNN viewers poll, which is not scientific, held Wednesday, November 10th, 2005, asked, "Do you believe there is a U.S. government cover-up surrounding 9/11?" 89% replied "Yes," they did believe there was a cover-up by the U.S. Government (9,441 votes), while only 12% felt there was no cover-up.

In a national Zogby poll, of May 2006, found that 45%, of the American public felt a new 9/11 investigation should be launched because "so many unanswered questions about 9/11 remain that Congress or an International Tribunal should re-investigate the attacks, including whether any US government officials consciously allowed or helped facilitate their success." An earlier Zogby poll of New York City residents, from August of 2004, found that Half (49.3%) of New Yorkers felt that U.S. government officials "knew in advance that attacks were planned on or around September 11, 2001, and that they consciously failed to act." While 66% of New Yorkers called for a new probe of Unanswered Questions by Congress or New York's Attorney General.

Now to the second issue the television media interviewers were most concerned with, which was the expertise and sanity of the people demanding a new 9/11 investigation, and some even suggesting possible U.S. government complicity in the attacks of 9/11/2001. Again, a simple google "video 9/11" search, provided a wealth of information.

This too yielded some surprising results.

One of the loudest advocates of the most damning charge that "members of the U.S. government actually orchestrated the events of 9/11 to fool the nation into unpopular wars", was not a tree-hugging Green Party activist, but rather a prominent Republican, in fact a Former Chief Economist under George Bush, and professor at Texas A&M, Morgan Reynolds. <http://www.lewrockwell.com/reynolds/reynolds12.html>

Google research of the growing list of other 9/11 skeptics of the official story, some "convinced of U.S. government involvement," while others not going that far, but pointing out that "the official story is highly questionable and demands further investigation," yielded surprising results. Including a host of high level Republican administration officials, defense experts, intelligence experts, and respected scholars, as well as well known celebrities who are now adding the spotlight of their names to the issue of 9/11.

Among them were:

Former Director of Advanced Space Programs Development for the U.S. Air Force, under President Reagan, and combat fighter pilot Col. Robert Bowman (Caltech Phd in aeronautics and nuclear engineering).

Former CIA Intelligence Advisor to Reagan and George HW Bush and founder of the Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity, Ray McGovern

Kevin Ryan, former department head at UL (Underwriter Laboratories) the company which certified the steel which went into the WTCs upon their construction, and inspected it after the WTC collapses in 2001.

Former assistant secretary of the U.S. Treasury Senior Research Fellow at the Hoover Institution, Research Fellow at Stanford's Independent Institute, and former associate editor of the Wall Street Journal, Paul Craig Roberts

Canadian National Defense Minister, the Honourable Paul Hellyer

Minister for the Environment, and Member of Parliament (United Kingdom) Michael Meacher

National Minister of Defense (Germany). Also, served as Minister of Technology Andreas Von Bulow

Former Chief of Staff of the Russian armed forces, and chief of the department for General affairs in the Soviet Union 's ministry of Defense, General Leonid Ivashov

Former MI6 British Counter Intelligence Officer, David Shayler

Distinguished McKnight University Professor of Philosophy at the University of Minnesota, former Marine Corps officer, author or editor of more than 20 books, and co-chair of Scholars For 9/11 Truth, James Fetzer

Professor of Physics, Brigham Young University, and co-chair of Scholars For 9/11 Truth, Steven Jones

Emeritus Professor of Philosophy of Religion & Theology, Claremont Graduate University, and author or editor of some 30 books, including "The New Pearl Harbor" and "The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions" David Ray Griffin

Professor of mathematics, University of Western Ontario, and founder of the Scientific Panel Investigating Nine-Eleven (SPINE), A.K Dewdney

Aircraft crash investigation authority, USAF Col. (Ret) George Nelson

Former chief Pentagon arms negotiator for the Middle East, USAF Col. (Ret) Don de Grand-Pre

Actor Charlie Sheen (Platoon, Wall Street, etc.)

Actor, Ed Asner

Actor, Ed Begley, Jr

So, now that we've examined the two main issues of concern for the television news interviewers, which was the "fringe" aspect of the questioners, and the "sanity/expertise" issue, it appears those arguments are very weak arguments, really with no merit at all.

Obviously tens of millions of Americans, according to polls, want a new investigation into 9/11/2001 and have a strong suspicion of U.S. government involvement at some level. Obviously not all of the national defense, intelligence, aeronautics, physics and engineering experts questioning the official story of 9/11 are insane or unqualified to comment.

This begs the question, in the face of such obvious facts, why do our media personalities continue to attempt to throw out accusations that are patently untrue regarding those who question the official story?

When a television news interviewer continues to ask questions and make assertions that he or she knows to be untrue, this would challenge the expertise and sanity, not of their guests, but of the television news interviewer.

The 9/11 truth movement appears to be growing rapidly, and involving people of substantial credentials and expertise. As television and some radio personalities continue to behave in what obviously is an insane behavior, what do we do? Can we get our national media any psychological help? If not, it would be wise to relieve them of their positions at least. I feel increasingly uneasy about millions of young minds being exposed night after night to comments and opinions by people who increasingly appear to be insane, yet in positions of authority.

Of course the concern here is larger. If there is any possibility or doubt about whether the events of 9/11/2001 were participated in by members of our own government, then our entire democracy and world peace would be strengthened by getting to the bottom of the true facts of this pinnacle event of our time. It would be unhealthy to leave a cloud of doubt hanging over such assertions. There should be a full fledged national debate, experts from all sides should be interviewed on national media to get to the bottom of this once and for all. Our Congress should launch investigations into the physics questions that are causing so many to doubt the official story. No matter where anyone stands on this issue, this is obviously the only path to national healing and trust.

However, this debate on national media cannot occur if the interviewers hired by national media continue to behave in an insane irrational behavior, like "conspiracy theory wing-nuts." You see, too many of our media spokespersons on television and radio adhere to a wild conspiracy theory. Their theory is that anyone who looks into the facts of the events of one of the most important issues in history is alone, and insane, but yet somehow organized in some united conspiratorial effort. Of course, the facts fly in the face of this conspiracy theory, but these media personalities appear unable to grasp reality even when it is pointed out to them.

For media reading this article, time will tell whether you are an insane conspiracy theorist or not. If you too, are among the insane in our media, the public will likely eventually demand your resignation. As one who writes sometimes on parental issues, I believe it is unhealthy to have insane people in charge of the national information highways our children are taught to watch. We need sane media people who look at facts regarding issues, not ones who launch into insane screeds of paranoia to avoid reality.

Also, you may recall that when I contacted State Representative, Stephen Nass' office, his aide stated that they were aware of but not interested in and would not look at the physics facts provided by the website Scholars for 9/11 Truth, www.st911.org. However, they did want to fire a university teacher for presenting facts, many of which were available on that site. To fire someone for presenting facts, facts that you dispute, yet have no idea what those facts are, and are unwilling to look at them to find out what they are . . . is also insane. Again, as someone who writes on parenting issues, as a concerned parent as well, America should also consider retiring our insane government officials who fire people for facts they aren't aware of and are unwilling to look at. These politicians apparently assert some wild conspiracy theory that millions of Americans are questioning the events of 9/11 because they are "Bush haters" according to the aide at Nass' office. This kind of delusional paranoia by our elected officials is of particular concern. Such wild-eyed conspiratorialists should not be allowed in government.

9/11 Was a Conspiracy

Butler Shaffer

While you here do snoring lie
Open-eyed conspiracy
His time doth take.
—William Shakespeare, *The Tempest*

I have lost my sense of humor to indulge those who reflexively deny the role of conspiracies in human affairs. In the months following 9/11 – and most strenuously in the days leading up to the fifth anniversary of this event – conventional thinking has dictated that commentaries on that atrocity carry the disclaimer “I am not suggesting a conspiracy.” It seems to be understood that entrance to the temples of respectable journalism, academic scholarship, or polite society would be denied anyone who transgressed this canon.

It is not that a speaker must refrain from expressing any particular conspiracy theory to explain troublesome occurrences: one must avoid the implication that any form of human behavior might be directed or influenced by conspiratorial forces. To even consider the possibility that a given event might have been produced by a conspiracy, is to run the risk of being labeled a “paranoid” or a “wacko.” As we have no desire to appear foolish in the eyes of others, we give in to such intimidation and preface our opinions with the aforesaid mantra.

How easily most of us sell out our intellectual integrity, and at distress-sale prices. Even men and women with excellent minds who should know better have collapsed in the face of such a charge. Do we have such a fear of our own minds that we can no longer stand up to the epistemological inquiry that is at the base of our character and intelligence: how do we know what we know? Upon what basis do we form our opinions about the world: the consensus of our neighbors, or our independent judgments?

Any intellectually respectable opinion must be well-grounded in empirical fact and rational analysis. I have no use for those who spin conspiratorial theories out of little more than fantasy, wishful thinking, or the failure to distinguish a temporal relationship from a causal one. The assumption that because event “X” occurred, and was followed by event “Y,” a causal connection has been established, is among the shabbiest forms of reasoning. One might just as well argue for the proposition that wet sidewalks cause rain. In fact, I have no use for conspiracy theories at all, preferring – as my late friend, Chris Tame, so well stated it – to focus attention on the facts of conspiracies! As annoying as those are who offer lazy, simple-minded explanations for complex events, I am far more aggravated by those otherwise intelligent souls who help to man the barricades of ignorance against honest and empirically-based inquiries into topics they have been told are beyond rightful questioning.

As the events of 9/11 continue – like a monster movie – to provide us with fear-ridden entertainment, let me use them to illustrate my point. There have been numerous DVDs, articles, books, and other works that challenge the government’s “official” explanations for these attacks. While some of these presentations test one’s credulity, others have provided purported evidence which, if true, would lead intelligent minds to demand further investigation. To say this, however, is not to give credence to any particular theory that one might offer as a counter-explanation to the “official” one. It is only to suggest that a further examination might be merited.

To ask empirically based questions is not to make an accusation, but only to pursue the “cui bono?” question as a point of departure for uncovering wrongdoing. When a government official was murdered in ancient Rome, it was customary to begin the investigation with that question: “who benefited?” My wife and I are fans of the Inspector Morse television mysteries produced by the BBC. In a recent rerun, a man was murdered, and the first question out of Morse’s mouth was “who stood to benefit from this man’s death?”

The answer to the “cui bono” question does not necessarily identify the culprit, but it is a very rational place from which to begin asking questions. To be a suspect is not to be accused. If a woman is found murdered, her husband will probably be the first one interviewed by the police in an effort to find her killer. If the victim had a one-million dollar insurance policy on her life, with her husband as the beneficiary, this will add to the intensity of the investigation. This does not, of course, prove that the husband was responsible for his wife’s death, only that it is sensible for the police to intensify their inquiry as to him.

I spoke to a young college student the other day. He informed me that he had asked his political science professor whether he thought it possible that persons within the United States government might have been involved in the 9/11 attacks. His professor adamantly denied even the possibility, saying that American government officials were too decent to ever do such a thing. Is this what passes for “science” in the study of government?

If this academician is prepared to be disabused of his delusions of faith in political systems, he might want to go to “Google,” and enter the phrase “Operation Northwoods.” Numerous entries will appear, with the first one – from Wikipedia – providing, perhaps, the greatest amount of information on this 1962 scheme by leading Defense Department officials. The plan was to have terrorist acts committed in various American cities – including Washington, D.C. – in which people would be shot; bombings would take place and planes hijacked; while “evidence” would be fabricated implicating the Castro regime with such acts. One proposal in the plan called for the destruction of an empty drone plane – which, people would be told, carried American college students on a holiday. All of these contrived “attacks” would then be used as a justification for an attack on Cuba. This plan had the written support of all members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, including its chairman.

That top U.S. government officials could concoct such a deadly plan as a pretext for war in no way proves that 9/11 was a similarly contrived event. What it does do, however, is strip away some of the high-school civics class veneer of the state that leads most Americans, including the aforesaid political science professor, to dismiss in knee-jerk fashion and without any felt need to examine the evidence, the idea that their government could engage in such calculated wrongdoing. In light of the lies, forgeries, cover-ups, and other deceptions leading to a “war” in Iraq, how can any intellectually honest person categorically deny the possibility of the involvement of American political interests in 9/11?

I want to emphasize, again, that I am not even suggesting that persons other than Al Qaeda operatives were responsible for the 9/11 attacks. I know of no evidence sufficient to sustain such an accusation. I am, however, suggesting that a number of critics of the “official” explanation

have offered enough thoughtful evidence and factual analysis to warrant a thorough investigation of these events. The inquiry should be conducted by competent men and women with no preconceived agenda – whether as defenders or critics of governmental behavior – and without fear of asking any and all empirically related questions. Were he not a fictional character, I would insist that Inspector Morse – with his “cui bono?” disposition – be made chairman of the investigatory group.

For such an inquiry to have meaning, it must be accompanied by a widespread change in current attitudes that make most Americans unwilling to consider the possibility of “conspiracies” directing events. Such a naïve mindset reflects an ignorance of so much of human history as to be embarrassing. The role of the “agent provocateur” – which found expression in the Operation Northwoods plan – is much better known to Europeans, whose political histories are replete with well-established in-house scheming.

To help my American neighbors get beyond this anti-conspiratorial brain-lock, I proclaim that the 9/11 attacks on the World Trade Center and Pentagon were, indeed, brought about by a conspiracy. Any who deny this are invited to explain why the World Trade Center buildings no longer appear on the New York City skyline! Unless one is to offer the state’s favorite “one-lone-nut-with-two-commandeered-airplanes” as the causal explanation, it seems quite evident that these attacks were brought about by at least two persons, thus constituting a “conspiracy.” The next question is whether the conspirators were of Al Qaeda or other as-yet undisclosed origins or, perhaps, a combination thereof. One could contend that these occurrences were the products of nothing more than random accidents; a bad day for airline pilots who could not keep from plowing their planes into buildings. But even such a far-fetched explanation implicates a conspiracy, as many persons in both the government and the media went to great lengths to inform us that these were planned attacks.

What forces were responsible for the crimes of 9/11? Admittedly, I do not know, nor am I prepared to transform my skepticisms into accusations. Perhaps it is the lawyer in me that has this strange attraction to evidence as the basis for my empirical judgments. In employing the “cui bono?” test as a point of departure, I find only two groups which, in Inspector Morse’s question, seem to have benefited from these attacks: (1) Al Qaeda, and (2) the United States government. Al Qaeda and Osama bin Laden have become a major political force in the world, in large part due to the Bush administration’s violent reaction to 9/11. But the American government – with its expanded police and military powers, increased military spending and the creation of new weapons, and the popular acceptance of the idea that people can be held, indefinitely, without trial – has benefited from this event by greatly expanding its powers. 9/11 was the product of a conspiracy, the only question being: who were the conspirators?

But as with a murder investigation, that one has benefited from a crime does not prove one’s causal role in it. It is important that this critical distinction continue to be made. Suspicion and guilt are not synonymous words. At the same time, however, intellectually respectable thinking demands a willingness to pursue any inquiry wherever it may lead. There is far too much at stake in our world for any of us to take comfort in our institutionally-certified ignorance by pulling the blankets up over our heads so that we not see the bogeyman.

But there is another factor – what I call “existential courage” – that must remain at the forefront of our efforts to live as human beings, rather than as servo-mechanisms to the institutional order. What kind of people are we that we should lay our liberties, property, and lives – including the lives of our children – at the feet of rulers, to be disposed of in any manner that suits their momentary temperaments? What have we become that we regard any questioning of this arrangement as the products of “irresponsible” or “paranoid” minds? Why should free and energized minds be fearful of asking any questions, particularly those we have been told it is improper to ask?

They Wouldn't Do That

George Washington

The following essay deals with dark subjects. However, it is only by exposing and working through the darkness that we can break through into the light.

Psychologists tell us that many people's view of the government is a projection of their view of their parents. In other words, whatever they believe about their parents, they also believe about the government. The personal is the political in more ways than one.

Try to step into the shoes of the average American. He or she assumes that the government wants to protect its citizens, just as his or her parents tried to protect their family (or at least he or she believed they did). So for this average American, believing that the government could do something cruel would be extremely difficult.

An Analogy

Let's call an average American "A". Let's say that A's brother is murdered. Police find the wallet of A's next door neighbor at the crime scene. The neighbor is arrested.

Months later, someone tells A that it was not the neighbor who killed A's brother, rather it was A's own parents.

Would A believe them? Probably not. A would have a very difficult time believing that her own parents—who she thought were good, protective parents—would do something so terrible.

This is basically the level of emotional resistance which the average American has to the idea that 9/11 was an inside job. Remember, many Americans project their family experience onto the government and the family as a whole. So thinking about the government murdering 3,000 of its own citizens is pretty much the same as contemplating that your parents would kill one of your siblings.

You may think that this analogy is far-fetched. A parent killing their kid is destroying perhaps 1/4 th of their family, while a government killing 3,000 citizens out of a population of over 200 million is a much smaller percentage. But this is a difference in degree, not in kind. And admittedly, murdering one's own flesh and blood is different from killing members of one's "national" family.

But despite these differences, the analogy is still useful for exploring emotional issues, as discussed below.

Exposing the Truth

But let's assume that, over the course of several years, people inform A about how numerous other parents in town have killed a child for horrible and criminal—but logical—reasons. Let's assume that the town has experienced a severe drought. And only some people have wells deep enough to draw water.

And some horrible person killed his own kid and planted the neighbors' wallet at the crime scene in order to get the neighbor arrested and buy the neighbor's house (and well) cheaply at a foreclosure sale. And then maybe this monstrous behavior catches on, and other townspeople start killing their own kids, planting fake evidence, and taking over for little cost their neighbor's property and drinking water wells.

This is very disturbing, horrific, disgusting stuff to think about. And this is how many Americans will react when being told that 9/11 was an inside job.

After years of exposure to the truth about this mass wave of murders in her town for monstrous but logical reasons, A may finally accept that her parents might have done it (assuming there is convincing evidence linking them to the crime).

Likewise, we have to approach people who are resistant to hearing 9/11 truth by respecting the emotional difficulty they probably will have to the false flag scenario.

How Do We Reach Them?

Like the neighbors who have to educate A about the rash of murders, we have to educate people about the common government ploy of false flag terrorism, as well as the evidence linking our government to 9/11. We have to educate people to the horrible fact that governments commonly commit acts of terror against their own people and blame it on others to justify wars against neighboring countries, to steal their resources, or to consolidate power. Are these things any less monstrous—or more rational—than parents killing their children? For the average American, it is hard to see the difference. Indeed, it is hard to think about either.

It will take a lot of people doing a lot of teaching. And just as importantly, it will take real sensitivity to the difficulties the A's of this country have in believing that their government—the projection of their fathers and mothers—could do so horrible an act.

How can we approach A in a way which recognizes where she is at emotionally and her natural emotional resistance to horribly disturbing news? How can we educate her? How can we reach her?

It won't be easy. But the future of our country, our liberties and our safety may be at stake.

We have to find a way to reach A. That is our assignment.

It would be nice, of course, if we could educate people that people in government do NOT necessarily behave like their parents do. But that is not necessarily an easy fix, as it may take people some time to see their projections clearly.

The Psychology Of Patriotic Denial

Tova Gabrielle

“When a problem seems too huge to fix, you can’t change it until you change your beliefs.”
—*the author*

Surely I am not the only person who can figure out that if people are secretive and uncooperative, it is probably because they’re hiding something (and that the more secretive they are, the more they have to hide). So why has the mainstream press reached the consensus that the Bush Mob has hindered the 9/11 investigations because it wishes to “avoid embarrassment,” rather than to “avoid incrimination”? What deeper motives might there be for their stonewalling investigations into one of the biggest crimes in our history?

As far fetched as it may seem, this wouldn’t be the first time in history a country planned or executed a major attack on its own soil and blamed foreign factions for political purposes. The Reichstag Fires which occurred under Hitler’s regime in Germany and the Northwoods Operation in the United States under the Kennedy administration during the early sixties are two prime examples of such planning.

Unlike the rest of the world, most people in this country insist that there is not a large enough, technologically advanced enough, or rich enough power base in the U.S. that could have orchestrated the events of 9/11. Yet they are perfectly content to believe that people from a less technologically advanced and poorer country could have done so. They are willing to believe that people who are operating out of caves, far across the world from us, have more expertise than we do. These superpowered demons, headed by bin Laden, apparently possess an elusive power base so magical that we cannot locate it, despite all our technology. However, we can still somehow receive updates and videos regularly from them—but, oddly enough we cannot trace the sources of these communications. Just like children fearing boogymen beneath our beds, we seem to assume these dark forces are far trickier and more resourceful than the most powerful country in the world. Educated U.S. citizens, unlike the educated and thinking citizens of other countries, have suspended their common sense as if hypnotized by the shock and denial they experienced in the wake of the vulnerability they felt following 9/11. They have accepted the party line that it was evil “others,” far across the world, who, by themselves, planned and executed this amazing feat (and that it is just a coincidence that this was the rationale for Bush’s attacks on two oil-rich countries). They cannot see that there is no evil demon with such magical, unlimited resources, but that it is our own denial-laced fears that have fueled our false beliefs in the omniscient power of the hidden “others.”

Although we can see that our democracy has been taken over by powerful and well-funded religious fanatics who continually terrorize living beings across the globe, we fail to acknowledge that if they have the technology, membership, and organization to foil elections and stage phony wars (in the face of massive protest around the world), they might likewise have the resources and drive to pull off such a massive deception as the 9/11 disaster in their own country.

How long must we remain hypnotized by shock and paralyzed by fear and depression before we realize that only our own whistle-blowing will stop these people? It is well past time to examine in depth the truly terrible agendas operating in our government and to expose how these agendas are being covered up. We, apparently, are the only population that doesn’t see through the charade. Every day we read of the degree to which they are willing to go in order to deceive us. Even Colin Powell called Rumsfeld and others “f---ing crazy” in their masterminding of the Iraq war.

Pride is difficult to swallow. No one wants to be a fool. In this paper, I hope to help people understand how it is that we could have been so thoroughly fooled and how so many of us still remain staunchly deluded. For once we understand a problem, we need no longer be subject to its dynamic influences.

Regarding the historical details that prove that our country has indeed been inclined to attack its own people for political ends, I refer readers to historians like Howard Zinn. Herein, I offer insights arising from my expertise in the psychologies of addiction, religious cults, mind control, and hypnosis to explain how an entire country was duped, and how to recover our perspectives.

Let’s begin on the level of personal psychology, of which political psychology is a manifestation. George W. Bush is a power addict and a puppet of the factions he represents. Like many power addicts, he has a history of alcoholism (as well as cocaine abuse). He is what is called a “dry alcoholic”—he may not drink, but he cannot face reality either, and therefore behaves with the same denial as one who does drink. Alcoholics have a compulsive need to be in control of others, since they are unable to control their own impulses and drives. They attempt to assert control by falsely inflating themselves and their causes. Everyone succumbs, on occasion, to denial when their pain becomes too great, but addicts have a particularly flagrant disregard for the truth. They cannot tolerate feelings of vulnerability and when their recovery is not going well, they replace one addiction with another.

Having given up substance abuse, George Bush has adopted fanaticism as a false means of control over his feelings of vulnerability. This is a typical response of people seeking to escape the shame of their past and their disorganized, chaotic experience. Constricting themselves within the bounds of a rigid, fundamentalist, ideological framework allows such people to shelter themselves from the potentially overwhelming levels of anxiety that may be experienced during the process of healthy recovery. It allows them to substitute authoritative quick fixes for actually having to think (rather than drink). They fail to develop common sense and reasoning powers to heal the disorganization and panic they experience. They are driven by a philosophy which compels them to react first and (try not to) think later.

Grandiosity is a hallmark of addicts and alcoholics because the most difficult thing for them to cope with is powerlessness. “It doesn’t matter whether or not you believe in a higher power,” author John Bradshaw tells people in recovery, “just that you know that you aren’t it.” When in power, these people deal with their feelings of powerlessness by continually attempting to convince themselves and anyone opposed to them that they are all right and others are all wrong. Their sense of loss and defeat are so strong that they need to believe, like children needing their parents’ divine approval, that they have “God” on their side.

Polarized, black-and-white thinking is the hallmark of mental illness. Bush and his carefully chosen, like-minded mob represent a huge faction of similar-minded fanatics who project their rigid, fear-based internal structures upon others within a black-and-white, good-versus-evil framework of thinking. Bradshaw writes that alcoholics don’t have relationships, but instead “take hostages.” We citizens are expected to observe the unspoken but classic rules that protect the alcoholic head of the family: “Don’t talk, don’t think, don’t feel.”

Such “addictive scripts” help maintain the power and façade of alcoholics and addicts. And the amazing part is that we, as citizens, may unknowingly play our parts as enablers, just like children of alcoholics. Sadly, many of us, acting as virtual children of alcoholics, play our parts in maintaining what we hope to be some measure of stability in our systems. As loyal children in an alcoholic family, many people will rigidly deny the extent to which our “parental” powers will go to manipulate and control us with fear. The defenses we may employ in our denials arise in infancy and are only finally uprooted through therapy or through insight that is willing to upset the systems we internalized.

Denial is a primitive defense; children understandably don’t acknowledge the alcoholic father’s insanity—after all he’s the only father they’ve got. The Bush administration depends upon our continued fear and isolation from like-minded others to keep us bonded to it in ignorance of what it does. Inflated patriotism is but a misguided defense of a system that has the will and means to destroy us for power, just like an alcoholic parent will sacrifice all for drink. As long as we feel overwhelmed and disempowered, we won’t investigate our intuitions or connect the dots.

It certainly helps the agendas of those in power if we are chronically too tired, broken and scared to think, feel, and talk. Otherwise, we might find the energy to contemplate the truth, to have insights and inspirations, and to organize. The George Bushes of our country are absolutely terrified of the power of the masses.

We have learned to “negatively hallucinate,” that is, to not see the obvious, when it contradicts our beliefs. We have been raised and bred in institutions and families where the very persons preparing and conditioning us for the “real world” have hidden their own skeletons in our closets. Without our realizing it, their shames, secrets, and fears become our inheritances. While it is all right to hate Bush and company for being liars and idiots, we stop short of believing they could be evil and powerful enough to have actually organized and pulled off the 9/11 attacks.

We were hypnotized on 9/11. Some of the strategies used to bring about a hypnotic state include shock, repetition of phrases and images, and exploiting people’s sense of vulnerability so that they relinquish their previously held beliefs and endorse something formerly untenable to them. The Bush administration has employed what hypnotists refer to as a “yes set” in order to bring us under its spell:

The towers were hit. Yes. People died. Yes. The world is unsafe. Yes. We know who did it. Yes. We must react now. Yes. If you are not with us you are against us. Huh? The towers were hit. People died. Yes. And so on until all the answers are the desired “yes.”

After the shock and the deepening of our fear reactions through repetitive imagery and continuous intimidation and lies, we found ourselves in a state of disorganization and simulated ego disintegration. Consequently, we have been thinking with our reptilian brains rather than our frontal lobes, which means we that we haven’t been THINKING at all. (The reptilian brain stem is only capable of fight or flight responses, not reasoned ones).

Opening our minds to the revelations described on this website forces us to confront our deepest, survival-based beliefs: it is almost impossible for us to accept that something so extreme could happen here.

Can you imagine that when Hitler was coming into power, the general public believed the rumors? Who would have taken seriously such nightmarish images as concentration camps replete with huge ovens? Who ever had heard of such a thing? The first people who were on to it were, no doubt, dubbed “conspiracy nuts” by the public (They were, interestingly, referred to by the Third Reich as “terrorists.”).

In time, the truth comes out. The world had to face the ugliest truth, and when it did, it said, “Never again.” But how closely now are we watching over our freedom? Are we unknowingly protecting ourselves from highly upsetting truths in order to protect our unconscious, infantile, need to believe that this is a democracy and a country devoted to our well-being?

Our tendencies toward denial are also enhanced by the messages from the commercial world that constantly bombard us: “Don’t get excited.” “Take a pill.” “Soft, easy, quick.” We have been raised as a country of addicts brainwashed into finding a way out of feeling pain. The longer we delude ourselves and try to avoid our pain, the greater is the infection we that we create within our collective psyche.

The movie “The Truman Show” features a character who has been set up all his life to believe in a fake reality in which he was being tricked and used. He finally rejects this reality, choosing to enter the unknown and face possible death over living a lie. Why have we been afraid to imagine the worst when there is ample reason for suspicion? Are we afraid of the anxiety such a disruption could evoke in our minds? This is what the protagonist of “The Truman Show” had to face. Like him, we need to become willing to give up our sense of false security in order to stop living a huge lie. Otherwise, we will remain, like children of alcoholics, afraid of our feelings of powerlessness.

Of course it is difficult to confront our fears and insecurities. But the good news is that facing reality is the way to become empowered. Do we fear that if we discovered the worst, we might explode with anger? Or implode with despair? Who ever imagined that we’d have to worry about rigged elections? Heck, that’s one of the criteria for fascism, and we hold the true ideals of democracy close to us. The psychological weakness in our collective consciousness that has allowed George Bush to abuse his power needs to be deeply examined, in order for these dynamics to change.

The clarity and thoroughness of the evidence laid out on this website allows us to take the first steps toward being able to believe that the Bush administration was behind the 9/11 attacks. Change happens incrementally. Little waves of inspiration and insight feed bigger waves. The inevitable backlash against the lies of the last three years might even lead to a truth movement. We needn’t be despondent; rather, we need to get even by creating this truth movement. The evidence supporting the claims of Bush’s complicity in 9/11 is available is readily available both on the internet and increasingly in hardcopy. This information is as plain as math, as concrete and physical as anything you’ve ever learned in school.

It becomes easier to face upsetting, painful facts once we become willing to change our beliefs. George Bush’s lies have paved the way for a new wave of believers. Thanks to the Bush mob’s relentless lies, we are being prodded to loosen the tightest of our psychological constrictions, our beliefs in what is possible.

Beliefs are the hardest off all psychological mechanisms to change. They are the structures upon which we have built our lives. To challenge our belief system is to threaten our ideas of who we are. Doing so can be disastrously disorienting, which is why people have been so slow to catch on—but it can also be enormously relieving and empowering.

When a problem seems too huge to fix, you can’t begin to change it until you change your beliefs. You need to throw out your old lenses in order to be able to perceive what you formerly couldn’t see. Then you can begin to emerge from your reptilian, survival-oriented, limited

brain and begin to imagine and put together the many available puzzle pieces.

Einstein said that imagination is more important than knowledge. We need imagination now to counter the mindsets we inherited as a result of being raised in systems that were designed to protect power. We learned to believe that those with power over us were truly protecting us, despite their nasty habits and lies. We were trained not to see who our real enemies are.

But we can stop being controlled by fear now. We can utilize our healthy outrage to expose the inconsistencies in what we have been told and leave no stone unturned. Our country's situation is not hopeless for the simple reason that we are not children and we can expose the traitors who have used political power against the people they are supposed to serve.

The Bush administration is not above the law. The more this administration disrespects the citizens of this country, the more pressure it creates in people to break free of it at last and consider the extent of its insanity.

To every action there is an equal and opposite reaction. The Bush administration's continual lies are bound to generate more and more skepticism toward them and an increasing interest in the truth. We are consequently being led into a sort of "forced individuation."

As our truth movement gains momentum to break free of the excuses and lies, we will see who the true "terrorists" within our own government are. We will know that the so-called "war on terrorism" is a fantasy.

When we break the code of silence, we will have to walk away from the government that we have allowed to morph into an instrument of corporate control. We will then either turn back to our original Constitution or go forth and find a new vision, moving, with a keen eye for truth, into uncharted territories. It will be very exciting. But we will no longer be innocent children, for we will have become aware of what can happen if we don't carefully guard democracy, especially in this new millennium. As adults, we will have to begin by envisioning alternatives. We must begin by brainstorming a new system. No more can we allow such massive failures of our own imagination. No more "intelligence failures." It is healthy to get mad, but use that fuel wisely: Get creative and spread the truth. We can't heal what we can't feel. We can't change what we won't even dare to imagine.

Gullible Americans

Paul Craig Roberts

I was in China when a July Harris Poll reported that 50 percent of Americans still believe that Iraq had weapons of mass destruction when Bush invaded that country, and that 64 percent of Americans still believe that Saddam Hussein had strong links with Al Qaeda.

The Chinese leaders and intellectuals with whom I was meeting were incredulous. How could a majority of the population in an allegedly free country with an allegedly free press be so totally misinformed?

The only answer I could give the Chinese is that Americans would have been the perfect population for Mao and the Gang of Four, because Americans believe anything their government tells them.

Americans never check any facts. Who do you know, for example, who has even read the Report of the 9/11 Commission, much less checked the alleged facts reported in that document. I can answer for you. You don't know anyone who has read the report or checked the facts.

The two co-chairmen of the 9/11 Commission Report, Thomas Kean and Lee Hamilton, have just released a new book, "Without Precedent: The Inside Story of the 9/11 Commission." Kean and Hamilton reveal that the commission suppressed the fact that Muslim ire toward the US is due to US support for Israel's persecution and dispossession of the Palestinians, not to our "freedom and democracy" as Bush propagandistically claims. Kean and Hamilton also reveal that the US military committed perjury and lied about its failure to intercept the hijacked airliners. The commission even debated referring the military's lies to the Justice Department for criminal investigation. Why should we assume that these admissions are the only coverups and lies in the 9/11 Commission Report?

How do you know that 9/11 was a Muslim terrorist plot? How do you know that three World Trade Center buildings collapsed because two were hit by airliners? You only "know" because the government gave you the explanation of what you saw on TV. (Did you even know that three WTC buildings collapsed?)

I still remember the enlightenment I experienced as a student in Russian Studies when I learned that the Czarist secret police would set off bombs and then blame those whom they wanted to arrest..

When Hitler seized dictatorial power in 1933, he told the Germans that his new powers were made necessary by a communist terrorist attack on the Reichstag. When Hitler started World War II by invading Poland, he told the Germans that Poland had crossed the frontier and attacked Germany.

Governments lie all the time--especially governments staffed by neoconservatives whose intellectual godfather, Leo Strauss, taught them that it is permissible to deceive the public in order to achieve their agenda.

Some readers will write to me to say that they saw a TV documentary or read a magazine article verifying the government's explanation of 9/11. But, of course, these Americans did not check the facts either--and neither did the people who made the documentary and wrote the magazine article.

Scientists and engineers, such as Clemson University Professor of Engineering Dr. Judy Woods and BYU Professor of Physics Dr. Steven Jones, have raised compelling questions about the official account of the collapse of the three WTC buildings. The basic problem for the government's account is that the buildings are known to have fallen at free fall speed, a fact that is inconsistent with the government's "pancaking" theory in which debris from above collapsed the floors below. If the buildings actually "pancaked," then each floor below would have offered resistance to the floors above, and the elapsed time would have been much longer. These experts have also calculated that the buildings did not have sufficient gravitational energy to accommodate the government's theory of the collapse. It is certainly a known and non-controversial fact among physicists and engineers that the only way buildings can collapse at free fall speed into their own footprints is by engineered demolition. Explosives are used to remove the support of floors below before the debris from above arrives. Otherwise, resistance is encountered and the time required for fall increases. Engineered demolition also explains the symmetrical collapse of the buildings into their own footprints. As it is otherwise improbable for every point in floors below to weaken uniformly, "pancaking" would result in asymmetrical collapse as some elements of the floor would give sooner than others.

Scientific evidence is a tough thing for the American public to handle, and the government knows it. The government can rely on people dismissing things that they cannot understand as "conspiracy theory." But if you are inclined to try to make up your own mind, you can find Dr. Jones' and Dr. Woods' papers, which have been formally presented to their peers at scientific meetings, on line at <http://www.st911.org/>

Experts have also pointed out that the buildings' massive steel skeletons comprised a massive heat sink that wicked away the heat from the limited, short-lived fires, thus preventing a heat buildup. Experts also point out that the short-lived, scattered, low-intensity fires could barely reach half the melting point of steel even if they burned all day instead of merely an hour.

Don't ask me to tell you what happened on 9/11. All I know is that the official account of the buildings' collapse is improbable.

Now we are being told another improbable tale. Muslim terrorists in London and Pakistan were caught plotting to commit mass murder by smuggling bottles of explosive liquids on board airliners in hand luggage. Baby formula, shampoo and water bottles allegedly contained the tools of suicide bombers.

How do we know about this plot? Well, the police learned it from an "Islamic militant arrested near the Afghan-Pakistan border several weeks ago." And how did someone so far away know what British-born people in London were plotting?

Do you really believe that Western and Israeli intelligence services, which were too incompetent to prevent the 9/11 attack, can uncover a London plot by capturing a person on the Afghan border in Pakistan? Why would "an Islamic militant" rat on such a plot even if he knew of it?

More probable explanations of the "plot" are readily available. According to the August 11 Wayne Madsen Report, informed sources in the UK report that "the Tony Blair government, under siege by a Labor Party revolt, cleverly cooked up a new 'terror' scare to avert the public's eyes away from Blair's increasing political woes. British law enforcement, neocon and intelligence operatives in the US, Israel, and Britain, and Rupert Murdoch's global media empire cooked up the terrorist plot, liberally borrowing from the failed 1995 'Bojinka' plot by Pakistan- and Philippines-based terrorist Ramzi Ahmad Yousef to crash 11 trans-Pacific airliners bound from Asia to the US."

There are other plausible explanations. For example, our puppet in Pakistan decided to arrest some people who were a threat to him. With Bush's commitment to "building democracy in the Middle East," our puppet can't arrest his political enemies without cause, so he lays the blame on a plot.

Any testimony against Muslim plotters by "an Islamic militant" is certain to have been bought and paid for.

Or consider this explanation. Under the Nuremberg standard, Bush and Blair are war criminals. Bush is so worried that he will be held accountable that he has sent his attorney general to consult with the Republican Congress to work out legislation to protect Bush retroactively from his violations of the Geneva Conventions.

Tony Blair is in more danger of finding himself in the dock. Britain is signatory to a treaty that, if justice is done, will place Blair before the International Criminal Court in the Hague.

What better justification for the two war criminals' illegal actions than the need to foil dastardly plots by Muslims recruited in sting operations by Western intelligence services? The more Bush and Blair can convince their publics that terrorist danger abounds, the less likely Bush and Blair are ever to be held accountable for their crimes.

But surely, some readers might object, our great moral leaders wouldn't do something political like that!

They most certainly would. As Joshua Micah Marshall wrote in the July 7 issue of Time magazine, the suspicion is "quite reasonable" that "the Bush Administration orchestrates its terror alerts and arrests to goose the GOP's poll numbers."

Joshua Micah Marshall proves his conclusion by examining the barrage of color-coded terror alerts, none of which were real, and, yes, it all fits with political needs.

And don't forget the plot unearthed in Miami to blow up the Sears Tower in Chicago. Described by Vice President Cheney as a "very real threat," the plot turned out to be nothing more than a few harmless whackos recruited by an FBI agent sent out to organize a sting.

There was also the "foiled plot" to blow up the Holland Tunnel and flood downtown New York City with sea water. Thinking New Orleans, the FBI invented this plot without realizing that New York City is above sea level. Of course, most Americans didn't realize it either.

For six years the Bush regime has been able to count on the ignorant and naive American public to believe whatever tale that is told them. American gullibility has yet to fail the Bush regime.

The government has an endless number of conspiracy theories, but only people who question the government's conspiracies are derided for "having a conspiracy theory."

The implication is even worse if we assume that the explosive bottle plot is genuine. It means that America and Britain by their own aggression in Iraq and Afghanistan, and by enabling Israel's war crimes in Palestine and Lebanon, have created such hatred that Muslims, who identify with Bush's, Blair's, and Israel's victims, are plotting retaliation.

But Bush is prepared. He has taught his untutored public that "they hate us for our freedom and democracy."

Gentle reader, wise up. The entire world is laughing at you.

9/11 Gatekeepers Muzzle Truth Toward Societal Dumbing-down

by Michael Hey

How many intelligent people have responded to the sudden disintegration of 7 World Trade Center with something akin to: “It sure looks like demolition, but I’m no physicist”. Somewhere in the fog over which side owns the most credible experts, most everyone seems to have forgotten that it doesn’t require an expert to tell the difference between controlled demolition and a towering inferno. Prior to September 11, 2001, no human has ever confused one for the other, because these types of occurrences have relatively little in common.

Clearly, the keepers have been remarkably successful at the gate. Being “experts” themselves, they benefit from our over-reliance on expert opinion.

In the words of Noam Chomsky, the world’s most frequently cited living individual (he ranks 8th all-time behind Plato and Freud), “The smart way to keep people passive and obedient is to strictly limit the spectrum of acceptable opinion, but to allow very lively debate within that spectrum”.

Conveniently, his field of expertise happens to be the “spectrum of acceptable opinion”. Why do we accept this? Is it possible, that humans, by nature, love to be told what to think?

How absurd must stated claims become, before our ability to blindly accept wildly conflicting ideas gets taxed beyond the limit?

For example, consider Chomsky who also indicated, “Even if (the 9/11 conspiracy) were true, which is extremely unlikely, who cares? It doesn’t have any significance.”

This is an odd stance to take, for one of the first thinkers to publish a book about this seminal event. Shouldn’t it be of some consequence to Chomsky whether the official story, as spun by the White House, and echoed by his book, is based in fact, or merely a convenient fantasy?

This, in essence, is what the truth movement is all about. For nations that claim to be subject to the rule of law, establishing the true identities of the perpetrators of any crime should matter, by definition.

Contrast this particular presentation, with the stance taken by George Monbiot, who considers the Truth Movement to be “a crazy distraction (presenting) a mortal danger to popular oppositional movements”. His below the belt charge, that truth activists are responsible for every conceivable ill, from global warming, to nuclear proliferation, represents a last ditch effort by the gatekeepers, in a battle they know they cannot possibly win.

The difficulty of these gatekeepers stems from the fact that it is not enough for them to merely oppose the truth movement. If Monbiot’s stance, for example, was simply that the movement is wrong, we could still expect him to side with us on the central issue, which is the clear need for an independent, transparent investigation. Indeed, by his own admission, Monbiot considers the American administration to have been “criminally negligent”, and of seeking to “disguise their incompetence by classifying crucial documents”. Why then is Monbiot so eager to let them get away with this?

If incompetence can explain why the military failed to make a timely appearance on September 11, then let the investigation roll. Let’s find out what procedures were not followed, who failed to follow them, and how the least competent individuals were disciplined—by being promoted perhaps? No one, least of all the gatekeepers, is comfortable with the idea of an investigation that may uncover more than mere incompetence.

The gatekeepers are twisting their brains out of shape, trying to imagine how such a massive deception could be possible. Their minds swoon at the staggering number of people who appear to be complicit in the lie, apparently oblivious to the central irony, that they are the very liars they describe. One reason so many people have bought into the lie, is that by accepting their own fiction as reality, the gatekeepers have become the world’s best liars.

The time has come, to re-claim from the gatekeepers our inalienable right to think for ourselves; and for that to happen, all we have to do, is to decide that thinking, no matter how tedious or tiring, lies in our best interest.

It’s already happening, which is why the gatekeepers are debasing themselves with an increasingly ugly barrage of insults. A few samples: “An imagination of hate” (Rex Murphy) “poor personal hygiene... and counter-rotating eyeballs” (Gwynne Dyer) or simply “morons” (George Monbiot). These barbs emanate from highly respected voices, noted for civility and tact, as long as the discussion remains firmly rooted with the “spectrum of acceptable opinion”.

In answer to Noam Chomsky’s question: “Who cares?” I do. I care because truth is a form of beauty; an absolute good, worth pursuing independent of any perceived agenda.

Not only do I reject the absurd notion, that the pursuit of truth is somehow incompatible with progressive causes, but I’m willing to dream of what the gatekeepers fear most: Change. Those of us who believe in the possibility of a kinder world, know that 9/11 is the log-jam, currently blocking any possibility of meaningful progress.

It will take a massive psychological shock, to jar ourselves awake. No matter how desperately we try to resist it, that shock has arrived.

Deprogramming the Bush Cult: Understanding 9/11 Anxiety, Avoiding a National Jonestown

Kevin Barrett

Public radio presents itself as a harbor of calm and pure sweet reason, a haven from the stormy, polluted seas of commercial radio. Its announcers speak in somnolently mellifluous brown-corduroy voices, and its music tends toward the alpha-brainwave easy-listening end of the classical repertoire.

Bringing up such a disreputable, anxiety-provoking topic as 9/11 truth on our mellowly respectable public airwaves may seem cruel and unusual. Indeed, I feel an odd mixture of pity and sadistic glee every time I do it. The announcer's voice usually speeds up and jumps an octave or two as it seeks a quick escape from the source of anxiety—me.

If calling in with 9/11 truth is like shaking the announcer's hand with a joy buzzer, getting them to do a whole show on the subject is more like subjecting the announcer to a full-scale CIA interrogation. I well remember the discomfiture in the voice of Wisconsin Public Radio host Ben Merens as he responded to David Griffin, "You actually believe the Bush Administration carried out the attacks?" Another WPR host, Joy Cardin, suffered an hour-long constricted larynx during her May 1st, 2006 interview with hardcore 9/11 truth speaker Morgan Reynolds. The following week she sounded so relieved I was afraid she might have an on-air orgasm during her obligatory counter-interview with a "why 'conspiracy theorists' believe such crazy things" sound-bite spewer.

My own "Eureka moment" for understanding 9/11 anxiety came during a private telephone conversation with one of my favorite public radio personalities, Jean Feraca. After a brief discussion of my view of 9/11, Jean's lively, lovely, eternally-curious voice sounded increasingly strained, pained, almost depressed. Finally she blurted out: "If you really believe that, why don't you leave the country?"

The last person I would expect to hear utter a mindless "America—love it or leave it" primate grunt is Jean Feracca. Fox News, sure. But Jean? Doesn't she understand that we 9/11 truth activists love America too much to leave her? Walking out on America after 9/11 would be like leaving a family member who has been shot by a mugger to die slowly on the sidewalk.

Jean Feraca's "love it or leave it" blurt-out helped me understand what 9/11 anxiety can do to otherwise thoughtful, cultivated, cosmopolitan people. It underlined for me the key role of the cycle of anxiety-induction followed by anxiety-reduction in the 9/11 psy-op and the mind-control regime that followed.

Marc Galanter's book *Cults* (NY: Oxford UP, 1989) explains how it works. Galanter, an NYU professor of psychiatry, spent fifteen years researching cults, from Rev. Moon's Unification Church to Jim Jones' People's Temple. As a relatively sympathetic observer, he was allowed free access to Unification Church members and recruits, while also studying the Church's detractors and deprogrammers, as well as other cult-like groups such as Alcoholics Anonymous.

Galanter discovered that all such groups work on the same basic principle: anxiety. The target individual is drawn into the cult because it offers relief from anxiety. The mechanisms of anxiety-relief include absolute faith in the group's leadership and ideology; group cohesion and the feeling of love and fellowship that follows from sharing this faith with others; and altered-consciousness exercises that relieve the anxieties of ordinary consciousness.

This kind of group anxiety-relief, of course, is not inherently pathological. Healthy communities do it, the great religions do it, everybody does it to some extent. Without groups to belong to, ideas and people to believe in, and altered states of consciousness to bring us peace and joy, we would be pathetic creatures indeed. The problem arises when power-hungry leaders manipulate these innate human characteristics for their own ends.

Galanter, better than anyone else I've read, shows how that happens. He explains that cults catch their members in a pincer-grip, by creating the very anxiety that they then relieve (Galanter, p. 85-87). The prototype for this "pincer effect" is the Stockholm syndrome, in which hostages come to love and identify with their captors. Being taken hostage, of course, is an extremely anxiety-provoking situation, and the hostage desperately craves relief. But the only people who can relieve the anxiety are the captors (p. 105). Hostages often repress their very rational dislike for their captors, in favor of an irrational but psychologically-compelling identification with their victimizers, which provides relief from unbearable anxiety. (Note to Faiz Khan: This insight explains why many American Muslims, horrifically victimized by the 9/11 psy-op, are such craven, pathetic Uncle Toms—they are Bush-Cult captives suffering from Stockholm Syndrome.)

This "pincer effect" is what allows cults to get out of control. Cult leaders subtly or not-so-subtly abuse their members; the members repress the knowledge that they are being abused, and identify with their abusers. This abuse, along with the radical disjuncture between the cult and the outside world, provokes anxiety in the members; and the members respond by seeking relief in the only place it can be found—by ever-more-intense commitment to the cult's ideology, leadership, and actions.

The 9/11 psychological warfare operation, and the bogus "war on terror" it triggered, has turned the USA into an out-of-control cult. 9/11 was designed to wallop the American people with a massive anxiety attack. As former Bush official Morgan Reynolds puts it, the Towers were "blown to kingdom come" with explosives, creating a massive, people-eating pyroclastic mushroom cloud chasing crowds through the streets of New York—a cinematic extravaganza perfectly tailored to the two-hour attention span of the American people, a real-life horror movie created to induce national panic.

Once this spectacular "attack on the homeland" had been engineered, and the national anxiety level raised to unprecedented heights, the corporate-government-media complex bombarded us with messages offering relief from anxiety through intense, unquestioning identification with the group (the nation), its symbol (the flag) and its leadership (Bush). To reinforce the idea that all of us—not just New York high-rise office workers were at risk, U.S. military anthrax from Ft. Detrick was sent through the mail. Few Americans noticed that it was sent to those Congressional reps who wanted to actually investigate 9/11. Instead, Americans were led to believe that their own mailboxes were now vulnerable to "terrorism." With cultish devotion, tens of millions of Americans were ready to swear undying allegiance to the very people who had murdered 2,500 of their fellow citizens in the Twin Towers. Like the followers of Jim Jones, they were ready to force-feed their children red-white-and-blue Kool Aid and send them off to the slaughter.

Such anxiety-induced cultishness can lead to collective insanity, as the Jonestown episode shows. People can be led to ignore clear, obvious facts—even the evidence of their own senses—and live out a mass delusion or hallucination that they accept as consensus reality, and cling

to in the face of all evidence to the contrary. They can watch videos of the Twin Towers being blown to kingdom come, WTC-7 being demolished conventionally, Larry Silverstein confessing to its demolition, Bush reading to schoolchildren with a guilty smirk while the nation is supposedly under surprise attack...and still cling to their preconceived notion that any "attack on America" must have been the work of outsiders.

As Galanter puts it:

"Cognitive dissonance theory...has shown how individuals cannot easily dismiss a belief or attitude they hold, even when the attitude is directly contradicted by evidence or events. People will sooner adopt farfetched ideas to explain events than relinquish their preconceptions. In so doing, they avoid having to face the dissonance between what they see and what they have long believed. The dismissal of plain reality can happen when people are confronted by challenges to their ingrained patriotism, their prejudices, or their religious values. Under these circumstances, they may ignore cruelty, hypocrisy, or incompetence, or create elaborate rationalizations rather than challenge the principles espoused by their leaders." (Cults, p.152)

Clearly the designers of the 9/11 psy-op knew about cognitive dissonance theory. This theory would predict that government operatives could blow the World Trade Center to kingdom come, invent a patently ridiculous narrative about hijackers with boxcutters, send a smaller plane and its missile into the Pentagon as a "hijacked 757," make no attempt to protect the alleged Commander-in-Chief, stand down the air defense system for 80 minutes during an "attack on America," persist in its "hijackers" myth after the alleged culprits had been shown to be still alive and the alleged cell phone calls to have been physically impossible, shut down calls for investigation by mailing anthrax to the two congressional leaders, Daschle and Leahy, who were blocking the Patriot Act, take out their biggest political enemy, Senator Wellstone, in a rigged plane crash, start a series of unnecessary wars based on ever-shifting false pretenses, steal an election they lost in a 53%-47% landslide through blatant, undisguised vote fraud, jettison the Constitution in favor of a cultish, dictatorial-presidency model of governance, explain everything with a constantly changing morass of ever-more-ridiculous lies...and not only avoid impeachment and prosecution, but remain in a position to launch more phony terrorist attacks and more wars.

Those who wonder why ordinary-looking people would let Rev. Moon marry them off to randomly-selected partners in a mass-marriage in Madison Square Garden, or why otherwise sane individuals would drink the Jonestown Kool-Aid, ought to ask why a whole nation has been taken over by the Bush cult...and then look in the mirror. It's your passivity that is responsible.

In the immediate aftermath of the 9/11-anthrax psy-op, polls showed that the Bush cultists—call them the Bushies—included almost 90% of the American people. Today, the cult has shrunk to around 30%. Normally a lame-duck president with a 30% popularity rating would be utterly helpless, paralyzed, in no position to even perform his own elementary toilet functions. But the leader of Bushcult Nation, a.k.a. "The Decider," just keeps blithely performing his toilet functions with the Constitution. Why? He still has that hard core of glazed-eyed cultists goose-stepping behind him, ready to administer the red-white-and-blue Kool-Aid at a moment's notice. And much of the other 70% is more or less in denial. They may have a few doubts about The Decider, but they still think they're in pre-9/11 America; they haven't fully realized they're in Jonestown, Guyana, heading off a precipice behind a certifiably psychotic leadership.

The only antidote to the national Kool-Aid party they're preparing for us over in Iran is 9/11 truth. The recognition that a cult leader has done something that is utterly, inarguably morally unacceptable can awaken cult followers out of their trance. The remaining 30% of hardcore Kool-Aid enthusiasts can rationalize, ignore or deny Iraq war lies, torture, election fraud, Constitution-abuse, an impending bogus-terror-triggered war on Iran...everything but 9/11 truth. Sit those folks down in front of the TV, show them the people jumping to their deaths and the Twin Towers being blown to kingdom come, prove to them their own government was responsible, and they will kick over their Kool-Aid pitchers and take to the streets, pitchforks in hand, to storm the castle and rid the world of the monster.

You, not our unelected cult leader, are the Decider. We are at a historic turning point, with the pro- and anti-Bush-Cult forces balanced just about evenly. Your action for 9/11 truth—or your inaction—will determine whether or not we have that national, or even world-wide, Kool-Aid party.

If you don't want to die with the Bushies in a world-civilizational mass suicide, please participate in upcoming 9/11 truth events. You can find them listed at <http://911blogger.com> and <http://911truth.org>. A date to remember is the 5-year anniversary of 9/11, when 9/11 truth forces will take New York, surround Ground Zero, and bear witness to the truth. Be there...or enjoy your Kool-Aid.

(StartThink)

tzo

So let's begin with a literary passage:

All this was inspired by the principle—which is quite true in itself—that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously. Even though the facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will still doubt and waver and will continue to think that there may be some other explanation. For the grossly impudent lie always leaves traces behind it, even after it has been nailed down, a fact which is known to all expert liars in this world and to all who conspire together in the art of lying. These people know only too well how to use falsehood for the basest purposes. ...

Quite an astute observation from the little guy with the funny little mustache. The idea of the Big Lie is the key that unlocks the heart of 9/11. 9/11 was, and is, the Big Lie of our times. Perhaps there has never been a bigger lie ever perpetrated on the world. The unfortunate, painful truth of the matter is that 9/11 was an inside job. Even as you skeptics roll your eyes, understand that to ridicule this thesis out of hand is to be, by definition, among the “broad masses” mentioned above, complete with the “primitive simplicity” of mind. Think about that.

The only way to uncover a Big Lie is to investigate it. Simple enough. Well, people have investigated 9/11, and obviously no Big Lie was discovered, therefore there is no Big Lie to be associated with 9/11. QED.

But I would warn you that you might want to be careful as to who you rely on to chew your food for you. And if you don't want the responsibility of chewing your own food, then you may have to live with some unpleasant consequences. And then whose fault would that be?

Yes, but there are any of a number of very good reasons—common sense reasons—why people do not have to investigate 9/11 for themselves. Quite simply, 9/11 couldn't have been an inside job because:

- no members of our government would ever do such a thing (currently, only brown-skinned people from foreign countries with funny religious practices are capable of such atrocities).
- members of our government could not have possibly pulled such a thing off—too many people having to keep too many secrets—and these are the same hapless keystone cops that allowed the attacks to succeed through bumbling incompetence (but a handful of fanatics guided by their genius leader in a cave in Afghanistan could overcome the multi-trillion dollar defense system of the US quite easily).
- if they actual had pulled it off, someone would have come forward by now and blown the whistle (the people who have come forward and blown the whistle are obviously cranks).
- none of the government-sponsored investigations found any evidence of such a conspiracy (we hereby find ourselves... not guilty!).
- if there were credible evidence to back up such a claim, then it would be all over the news media. If 9/11 was the news story of the century, then “9/11 was an inside job” would be even bigger. No news organization would fail to cash in on such a sensational story (unless more profit comes from actually not publishing the story).

Ah, the convenience of having someone else chew your food for you. Make no mistake: the above list does nothing to investigate the facts surrounding 9/11. The above list gives you reason not to look at the facts surrounding 9/11. I could critique the validity of these reasons point-by-point, but that would be a rather lengthy and completely unnecessary side track.

Because if the facts show that (A) 9/11 was an inside job, then by definition, whether you would like to believe it or not, (B) there are members of our government who were (im)morally capable of doing it, organized and secretive enough to pull it off, and were effectively able to stifle any accusations, allegations, investigative reporting, or even meaningful discussion about it afterwards.

I'm sorry, but you can't argue with me about the previous paragraph. If (A), then (B).

If you don't accept the inside job thesis, then you do so because you assume (not B), therefore (not A)—a stance in which the facts of the matter can be completely ignored. How convenient.

Well, obviously Hitler couldn't have pulled off all of his alleged mischief because it would have been impossible to find a large number of people willing to commit outrageous acts against humanity, and if he could have assembled such a group, someone surely would have exposed the evildoers and the public would never have stood for it. This is why World War II and the horrific events surrounding it never happened. I don't think we need to concern ourselves here with the alleged “facts” found in history books and the supposed “witnesses” to these fictitious events. Common sense tells us they couldn't possibly have occurred.

Understand? (not B), therefore (not A).

But how ludicrous, to compare 21st century America with Nazi Germany. They have nothing in common, and it is an insult to this great country to even suggest such a thing.

Here's a catchy phrase to remember—perhaps you may have even heard it before: *Those who forget the past are condemned to repeat it.*

Let me summarize what we've covered so far: *Blah, blah, blah*. Just about a complete waste of time. There is only one thing worth discussing: What are the facts surrounding the events of 9/11? Is there reason to question the official story?

Here is where it gets too difficult for many people to keep with the program. The evidence for the case that 9/11 was an inside job is overwhelming. It's a slam dunk. A no-brainer.

And here is why these assertions seem completely over-the-top unbelievable: 9/11 was a masterful psychological operation. The Big Lie applied with a vengeance. *Even though the facts which prove this to be so may be brought clearly to their minds, they will still doubt and waver*

and will continue to think that there may be some other explanation. Logic and critical thinking applied to the evidence leads inexorably to the undeniable fact that 9/11 was an inside job. But when the person demands to be convinced of the opposite, then the evidence matters not one whit.

The underlying principle of the Big Lie is that psychology trumps logic. *They say that $2 + 2 = 5$ now? Well, O.K.* (StopThink)

You are either able to overcome your fear and rebuild your world-view, or you are not. Simple as that.

And so a junior-high physics student can show beyond any doubt that the three towers collapsing the way they did due to gravity is an impossibility according to the basic laws of the universe in which we live, and an advanced-degreed physics professor can discount any doubt about the official story as conspiracy theory nonsense. One judges the facts, the other does not. We trust the learned professional, and we worry about the mental stability of the young boy.

Just what in the hell are we teaching our children? (StopThink)

Look—this is not a subject that is too complex for your average Joe, where, well, I guess it depends on your point of view, you can believe this or that, and there are experts who say one thing and then others who say the opposite, and we won't ever really know exactly what happened, and other such noncommittal, safe, let's-get-off-this-subject-and-forget-about-it bullshit.

(StartThink) Investigate for yourself.

Or don't.

Do you really care? Is the subject worthy of your attention? If not this, then what?

What would be the consequences of the non-official theory? What would you do? Anything? What could you do? Nothing? What would you tell your kids? Would you leave the country? Are you paralyzed with fear just contemplating it?

If they did it once (my goodness, maybe they've even done it more than once), might they try something similar in the future? Can you find Iran on a map? Do you prefer to live in a make-believe world where you pretend these kinds of things can't happen? What are the consequences of living in such a fantasy world? Do you want your children to be likewise ignorant of the world that surrounds them? What might be the consequences of that?

Does living in a "democracy" mean that the citizenry is responsible for the actions of its government? Was there anything like that on the Constitution test? Or does voting every four years absolve you from any further participation in the system that represents you?

Tough questions? Are you getting a headache? Isn't this someone else's problem? Need to watch *American Idol*? (StopThink)

Here's what it comes down to: Prove the Inside Job Theory wrong. It should be simple enough to debunk such obvious craziness, right? But if you're honest and discerning in your research, you will quickly discover that the Official Theory arguments are all tired and weak—every single one of them. Whatever you may now think is substantial proof supporting the Official Theory evaporates as does a mirage when you examine it more closely: It's just not there.

The emperor has no clothes, and all you have to do it open your eyes and look.

Contemplate the words of Mark Twain, famous "traitor turned patriot."

A Patriot is merely a rebel at the start.

In the beginning of a change the patriot is a scarce man, and brave, and hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. The soul and substance of what customarily ranks as patriotism is moral cowardice and always has been.

In any civic crisis of a great and dangerous sort the common herd is not privately anxious about the rights and wrongs of the matter, it is only anxious to be on the winning side.

In the North, before the war, the man who opposed slavery was despised and ostracised, and insulted. By the "patriots." Then, by and by, the "patriots" went over to his side, and thenceforth his attitude became patriotism.

There are two kinds of patriotism—monarchical patriotism and republican patriotism. In the one case the government and the king may rightfully furnish you their notions of patriotism; in the other, neither the government nor the entire nation is privileged to dictate to any individual what the form of his patriotism shall be. The gospel of the monarchical patriotism is: "The King can do no wrong." We have adopted it with all its servility, with an unimportant change in the wording: "Our country, right or wrong!" We have thrown away the most valuable asset we had:—the individual's right to oppose both flag and country when he (just he, by himself) believed them to be in the wrong. We have thrown it away; and with it all that was really respectable about that grotesque and laughable word, Patriotism.

Do you know who David Ray Griffin, Steven Jones, and Jim Hoffman are? They are three of the brightest and bravest among the few true current American heroes who have spoken out logically, eloquently, loudly and publicly for 9/11 truth. Read a book by David Ray Griffin. Read Steven Jones' paper. Browse Jim Hoffman's website for a couple of hours.

(StartThink) Investigate for yourself. Or don't. I'm too tired to push on those who are too afraid, too weak or just plain don't care.

Chew your own food, dammit.

If you're interested, here is your homework assignment:

1. Read the following papers online:

Peer-reviewed paper by physicist Steven Jones: <http://www.mindfully.org/Reform/2006/WTC-Jones19mar06.htm>

Paper by David Ray Griffin: <http://www.mindfully.org/Reform/2005/WTC-911-Griffin1oct05.htm>

2. Spend at least one hour browsing through Jim Hoffman's most excellent website: <http://911research.wtc7.net/>
and there is always something interesting and new here:
<http://www.911blogger.com>
3. If you have completed both 1. and 2. above, then purchase and read one of the following books by David Ray Griffin. For Pete's sake, at the very least go to *Amazon.com* and browse through the reviews:
Books by David Ray Griffin:
Debunking 9/11 Debunking: An Answer to Popular Mechanics and Other Defenders of the Official Conspiracy Theory
The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions And Distortions
The New Pearl Harbor
4. OK, I realize that many are averse to having to do so much reading, so here is some good video to get you started:
September 11 Revisited can be viewed/purchased at <http://www.911revisited.com/>
9/11 Mysteries Part 1 can be viewed/purchased at <http://www.911weknow.com/>
Loose Change can be viewed/purchased at <http://www.loosechange911.com/>
911: Press For Truth can be rented from Blockbuster and Netflix or viewed on Google video

This is just a start. There is an unbelievable amount more beyond this, and with each passing day new evidence is added. Hey look, someone just leaked the Twin Tower blueprints out of hiding. Wrongfully withheld from the public for over five years, and now anyone in the world can download them to their computer. It's amazing just how wrong all the official reports were in regard to the structure of these buildings...

After completing the above assignment, explain to me how you know that the inside job theory cannot be true.

Else, welcome aboard. The tide is turning. The time to catch the wave is now.

9/11: Living In the Matrix

Peter Zaza

“During times of universal deceit, telling the truth becomes a revolutionary act.” —George Orwell

Have you seen the movie, “The Matrix”? A computer hacker learns from mysterious rebels about the true nature of his reality, and his role in the war against the controllers of it.

What is the true nature of our reality? Of course everyone’s definition is going to be different, but reality and facts are not really subjective, only interpretations. Two months ago, my reality was diametrically opposite to what it is now. I believed what I was told, what the media reported, what I thought I saw happening on 9-11. Now, when I express my opinion, I’m ridiculed, scorned, and labeled as a crackpot. My interpretation of the facts may be different from two months ago, but the reality of events on 9-11 have remained exactly the same as on the day they occurred. I was simply too ignorant of the facts to realize that what I was told by those that I trusted, was a complete lie.

We are all together, and all alike. My evolution of thought is much the same as the next person’s. When I first heard about the 9-11 truth movement, I shrugged it off and said, “that’s crazy, you’re paranoid and delusional”, or “there are always going to be some crazy conspiracy theories”. Thank goodness my friend persisted and weeks later mentioned it again. This time, I opted to honour his integrity and respect his intelligence enough to take some time and do some research.

After five years of believing in something as fact, and having no other information to the contrary - it took me just one hour to see the real truth about this issue. There was an epiphany; a huge light went on inside my head, and suddenly so many things finally made sense about this tragedy. Especially the subsequent events dependent upon it, for 9-11 truly is the lynch-pin for the justification of so many atrocities perpetrated by its architects.

Heuristic logic and basic cognitive reasoning depend on elemental constructs of accepted thought and a fundamental understanding of physical laws, which can’t be abrogated by such buffoonery as perpetrated by the US government. The buildings obviously came down because they were deliberately imploded, most notably the third one, which wasn’t even hit by a plane. (David Copperfield would pay big bucks for that trick!) Why is it obvious? Let’s look at this localized event of a plane crashing into the higher floors of such a tall building. One simply cannot rationalize how each floor underneath suddenly explodes into dust, loses all structural integrity, and allows a point in time/space at the top of the structure to fall down to earth at free-fall speeds, just as if there was only air to impede its descent. All those intervening floors suddenly decide to synchronously disintegrate into a total global collapse, and offer no resistance. Reason alone should dictate that those structures could only come down in this fashion by demolition. There simply is no other way to get a building to collapse like that, other than controlled demolition. Now, this point is really the key, like the first micro-second of the Big Bang Theory, so much is riding on this 9-11 catastrophe: the fake war on terror, the war against Afghanistan, the war in Iraq (Enough with the freedom and democracy already, you guys are killing me).

It makes sense, even to a non-engineer like myself, that if we are to believe the official “fire” theory, we would be forced to imagine that the steel be required to weaken under severe, and very long-lasting exposure to a heat source of incredible magnitude, to effect the entire steel frame, with all its heat dissipating potential over such a voluminous area of metal. That steel would get so extremely hot, that it would bend, buckle and sway in a non-symmetrical fashion. Instead, it broke into distinct sections - along with everything else being pulverized - and fell straight down into its own footprint. Add to this the many documented eye-witness testimonies of massive explosions occurring in the substructures and other floors, which could only account for the rivers and pools of molten metal found weeks after the event. This can be reasoned as follows:

Steel melts at X degrees. Fossil fuel fires, such as kerosene jet-fuel, can only obtain maximum temperatures of far less than X in these conditions over this elapsed time period. The fact of the matter is, stated temperature is far too cool to cause evaporation and melting of steel—unless we are to believe that a one-hundred and ten story building could crash down onto some steel, and heat it up to thousands of degrees only by the dynamic of friction alone. Let’s think about this—let’s try and drop a few steel beams off a tall building, and see if they turn into a molten state after hitting other steel on the ground. Is that what they’d have us believe? I dare say, next time someone tries to tell you a story about how Osama bin Whatsit and a bunch of nimrods with box cutters are to blame, ask them how we end up with molten steel—Tell me the process. While you’re at it, ask how the hell did they get a forty-seven story building to fall down beside the ones that were hit by the airplanes? How did the steel in building Seven become so incredibly weakened, as to cause a total, global, synchronously beautiful, he said he was gonna pull it, can’t you all see that it was a controlled demolition collapse?

So, along our journey we must proceed.

Simply by using our Einstein-like thought experiments, along with physical evidence and eye-witness testimonies, and sometimes relying on critical thinking alone, we can say with utter certainty that these buildings were imploded. We can’t rely on examination of ALL the physical evidence, most of which was whisked away by the authorities too quickly, a fact that tends to incriminate them. They can spend years reconstructing a plane crash to try and figure out forensically what happened, but not the US government on 9-11. No way. Not after the biggest, most diabolical, horrendous event of the new century. Just hours after the attacks we had pictures of the guys who did it, and a myth about a guy in a cave who masterminded this grandiose scheme to outwit the entire defense system of the biggest super-power on earth. No black boxes or video tapes released, gag orders issued, destruction and suppression of evidence, manipulation of media, etc. etc. etc.

Would it not seem reasonable to want to explore all potential eventualities and probable causes before coming to a hasty decision regarding the biggest mass-murder in history? Would one not at least consider a demolition theory, instead of sticking with a “official conspiracy theory” that required physical laws of nature to behave like in some bizzaro world. But no—because as soon as we admit that there were explosives, then we MUST admit that it was an inside job—ergo there must be collusion at the highest levels—case closed.

The entire government theory hinges upon there not being any controlled demolition. Unfortunately, anyone who looks at the evidence in an honest, scientific manner could hardly deny it. But still, they must adhere to this ridiculous explanation, and conveniently, anybody who questions it must be a terrorist, or somebody who supports terrorism, and on and on. Yes, my dear people of the United States and the World,

it was definitely done by the very ones who have suppressed all the evidence and insisted on this impossible story. Ergo, there is no real war on terror as such, except for the one that the US government is inflicting upon us all. It's a hoax, a sham, and too many people on this planet are either uninformed, misinformed, or just plain dis-informed. Let's talk about Jaylo's new hair style, or Janet Jackson's wardrobe malfunction, or any other such inane drivel that those corporate slaves who call themselves journalists serve up on the idiot box every night.

"Our greatest difficulty at the moment is that our media is totally corrupted—starting with the New York Times—the media belongs to our rulers."

"In the old days when something ghastly went wrong you could count on journalists writing something about it....there are no voices expressing disagreement, " —Gore Vidal

I'm ashamed that I spent five years not even questioning 9-11 But once apprised of a few facts, and taking into account the totally shameful behaviour of the media, and the egregious actions of the US government to cover-up and obfuscate the truth, I realize I shouldn't be too hard on myself, or others who also must alter their mental paradigms about our world, no matter how comfortably they fit. We all must shudder at the thought that those entrusted to lead and protect us, could be so twisted as to commit such acts. It's time to wake up and do the right thing. All of us. We must get those psychopaths out of office, and don't bother with the other party, that's just part of the scam, in case you haven't figured it out. Take those trillions of dollars spent on killing people, and put it towards solar power, wind power, or any other kind of power that doesn't destroy our once-beautiful planet, or motivate a part of our society to become so dehumanized, that they could commit such heinous acts, all for profit and control.

The US government is leading its nation down a path to ruin. History does not look kindly towards tyrants who spread terror and perpetrate wars like the Bush administration. I was truly disgusted when it was demonstrated to me how the United States government has sanctioned the dumping of depleted uranium in some of the ammunition used on Iraq. Scores of babies born disfigured, both Iraqi and American, are a grim testament to this horrific practice. Bad enough that they kill and maim them, but to add insult to injury, do they really need to torture them, as well as subject future generations to such a ghastly existence? And why? Oh, right. Halliburton up five points.

I just don't understand how any American can feel good about watching football, going bowling, waving their flags, when their government is committing such atrocities in the so-called name of freedom and democracy. I grieve for the families of those victims in New York; the families of those Afghans who were just innocently trying to struggle through their lives; the allied soldiers dying in Iraq and their families trying to rationalize why; and the countless thousands of Iraqis who are still being killed, dragged out of their homes and called terrorists, while their wives and children are forced to witness such nightmares. If you are truly cognitive of what has happened, and what is still happening, then there's just no way you can sit around the table with your big fat turkeys, raising a glass and singing, "God Bless America". I must agree with Howard Zinn, "Why not, God bless everyone?"

And all of it predicated on the lie of 9-11.

We are inexorably a part of that lie by continuing our denial of its existence, and that makes us all a party to everything that has been happening as a result. It is incumbent upon the "US" and the rest of "us" to prove what really happened that fateful day. Let's go to court. We've got the likes of Griffin, Ryan, Fetzer, Jones, and countless others who have the expertise and moral integrity on our team. We've got science. We've got the truth. What have they got? A bullshit story any eighth grade science student could refute, and a bunch of experts who don't seem to mind going down in the annals of history as being dreadfully incompetent, however loyal, and completely unwilling to even debate their so-called findings, therefore, a bunch of anal.

And so the fascists are now getting the detention centers ready—those are for you "doubting" Americans you know—because the huge stinking pile of horse manure known as 9-11 isn't going to go away, rather it will just keep getting worse. Like a flood that starts from a few small drops, understanding of the truth will continue to grow and gain strength. They can't hide from truth. Truth is not spin, or what they tell their bootlicking media to cover. Truth doesn't care if they think they can keep on repeating the same lies, over and over, until the world has heard them enough times to believe them.

"First they came for the communists, and I did not speak out—because I was not a communist; Then they came for the socialists, and I did not speak out—because I was not a socialist; Then they came for the trade unionists, and I did not speak out—because I was not a trade unionist; Then they came for the Jews, and I did not speak out—because I was not a Jew; Then they came for me—and there was no one left to speak out for me." —Pastor Martin Niemöller, 1945

"All truth passes through three stages. First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed. Third, it is accepted as being self-evident" —Arthur Schopenhauer

Don't be afraid of telling the truth regarding 9-11. Tell everybody you can—shout it out. Let them call you names, let them ridicule. We truly are very much alike, and just as I scorned the first person who told me while I still lived under the yoke of my own ignorance, you will plant the first seeds of doubt and inquiry within others. Over time, this crazy idea will move through its natural evolution into becoming accepted thought.

The operative question being whether we collectively let too much time elapse before those murderous tyrants plunge us further toward apocalyptic extinction.

What are you going to do about 9-11?

"There are none so enslaved as those who falsely believe they are free" —Goethe

DENIAL - The 51st State

Paul Levy

What the underlying military-industrial-financial crime syndicate that controls our government is doing, both domestically and internationally, is so horrifying (please see my article Homeland Insecurity <http://www.awakeninthedream.com/insecurity.html>) that it is literally traumatizing to consciously bear witness to it, to experience it. When we become traumatized, we become stuck, literally “frozen in time,” as our ability to creatively respond and mobilize ourselves in the present moment into effective action in the world becomes in-operative. When we become overwhelmed by trauma, we are not able to creatively express our internal experience in a way that dis-charges what has been triggered in us. We feel impotent. We are unable to give voice to our experience, as our power to be ourselves has become foreign to us. We become mute. When we become traumatized, we lose touch with our inner voice, which is our guiding spirit, our true genius.

Bush and his regime could only be getting away with the atrocities they are perpetrating not only because there are an insufficient number of people who see what they are doing, but because there are enough people who see what is happening and remain silent (please see my article Breaking the Vow of Silence <http://www.awakeninthedream.com/vow.html>). To quote Judith Herman, author of Trauma and Abuse, “The ordinary response to atrocities is to banish them from consciousness. Certain violations of the social compact are too terrible to utter aloud: this is the meaning of the word unspeakable.” When our nation is seen as a family system composed of interrelated roles that do not exist in isolation from each other but rather in co-relation to one another, the people in the role of the abuser, Bush and his regime, depend upon the tendency for most people to split-off from and deny the horror of the atrocities they are perpetrating to be able to get away with them. When we deny what is happening, we are not able to speak about it, for to speak about what is happening is to invest our experience with a living reality, which is the very thing our denial ensures doesn’t happen.

As perpetrators of the abuse, the Bush regime will do everything in their power to promote our denial, pretense, and silence. Our becoming silent is the very thing which allows them to literally get away with murder. They need to induce our denial, which is an internal cover-up, as a necessary requirement for them to act out their role as “perpetrator.” Denial is an integral dynamic which sustains the pathology of the victim-perpetrator collusion. The abuser’s refusal to hear the voices of those they are exploiting is crucial to their continued domination.

When the abuse is so horrific, it forcibly overwhelms the human psyche so as to split the psyche from itself and shatter its wholeness, which is the very root of trauma. When the atrocity is so inhumane, we dis-associate from the experience, creating a self-protective amnesia for ourselves. An (arche)typical response to trauma is to simply “forget about it” and try to go on with our life. Like Bush himself counseled us after 9/11, we should just continue shopping. It is the strangest experience to walk around town and see so many people just going about their day, drinking their cup of coffee in their favorite café and reading the sports page as if nothing out of the ordinary is happening, while in the same moment on another part of the planet bombs are being dropped on innocent people with our names on them. A more perfect image of collective denial is hard to imagine. “Hey, did you hear the Yankees took two yesterday?”

To be in denial is both an unconscious, primitive, and magical defense, as well as on some level also being a conscious choice. There is a collective denial that most of us support by acting out our own personal denial in our individual lives, which in turn simply feeds into our collective madness. To the extent that we aren’t completely outraged with what our government is doing, we are in denial, for what could we possibly be thinking? To the degree we are in denial about the horror that is playing out in our world, we are, to that extent, complicit.

When the abuse is so overwhelming, we become numbed, desensitized, and anesthetized, as if a psychological “operation” (psy-ops) is being done on us (please see my article The War on Consciousness <http://www.awakeninthedream.com/warconsc.html>). Instead of being enlivened by the abuse, we become “deadened,” as if we have become dehumanized. Devalued, we become incapable of “feeling.” In this covert operation, our ability to respond creatively and responsibly becomes disabled. We can become incapacitated with inexpressible rage, hopeless despair and a feeling of worthlessness. The worst of the abuse isn’t even so much what the external abuse actually is, but what it creates in us internally.

When we are overwhelmed by abuse, we are literally coerced to disavow our perceptions, which is to betray ourselves. To quote the late Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., “At some point, silence before a lie becomes betrayal.” Betraying ourselves, we become a stranger to ourselves, forgetting who we are. We become disoriented, as our bedrock connection between psyche and reality has been severed. Moment by moment we insist on building a wall between our inner selves and the outer world. Splitting off from the abuse, we invariably internalize the abuser and police ourselves. We lose our connection with our inner nature, as well as with nature itself. Our sense of meaning, and our identity as sovereign meaning-generators, becomes scrambled. When the nightmare that is playing out is so horrible, we marginalize and deny our very experience itself, as we literally “split” (which means both “in two,” and “leave” i.e., go far away from the present, as well as from our true selves). A part of us pretends that what is happening is not really happening.

A specific example of denial in our current day and age are people’s reactions to 9/11. The most superficial inquiry into the facts reveals that there is no doubt whatsoever that the government’s official story about 9/11, a crazy conspiracy theory if there ever was one, is not only not true, but is covering up what really happened. Who knows what really happened on 9/11, but when the evidence is studied, it is beyond any reasonable doubt that the same criminal enterprise that has infiltrated the highest levels of our government also had its hands in creating 9/11. The underlying military-industrial-financial crime syndicate was the only organization that had the motive and the ability to pull-off, cover-up and capitalize on the “opportunity” of 9/11. To go down this rabbit hole and see what the criminal forces that have taken over and control our government are capable of is to unravel and shatter many of our naïve illusions, which is why many of us deny and simply refuse to look at the evidence.

It is clear that the same underlying criminal syndicate that was behind 9/11 is using 9/11 as a catapult to further expand its domination and extend its tentacles to the furthest reaches of not just the planet, but space itself. If you think I am exaggerating or being paranoid when I point this out, I would respond by simply inviting you to open your eyes and explore the ample evidence, which is readily available and overwhelmingly convincing (see www.911truth.org). Besides being utterly traumatic to realize, another reason the truth behind 9/11 is so hard to see is because it is everywhere we look, literally staring us in the face. If we don’t realize the truth behind 9/11, it is because we are in denial.

When we realize that 9/11 was an “inside job” perpetrated by our own government against us, we step out of denying what deep down we know to be true. 9/11 was a wake up call for the American people and the world at large, and it has the potentiality of snapping us out of our spell so that we can begin to see what is actually happening in our world. Looking beneath the superficial “official” explanation for 9/11 begins an initiatory process of reconsidering the way the power structure of our nation and the world operates. People who have woken up to the truth behind 9/11 are very much like people who have had a kind of spiritual awakening, in that having snapped out of the consensus trance, they are recognizing a deeper, more fundamental process that is in-forming and giving shape to events in our world. Like a person who has had a spiritual awakening, people who have realized the truth about 9/11 have stepped out of their denial and snapped out of an illusion.

When we are in denial, we avert our gaze, which is a reactive form of psychic blindness. When we get stuck in and embody the madness of denial, we become psychologically deaf, as we are not able to hear any “informing” influences from the outside world which reflect back to us our unconscious state. Falling into denial, we become psychologically deaf, dumb and blind. We see no evil, hear no evil, and speak no evil.

When we are riddled by denial, we hold contradictory viewpoints simultaneously, while splitting off from the underlying contradiction, which is a self-induced, trance-like dissociated state, in which we have fixated our attention, restricted our own awareness and hypnotized ourselves. When groups of people (or a nation) collectively fall into a mass en-trance-ment together, they reinforce each other's unconscious denial, which feeds the zombie-like madness of the group. The name of this phenomenon is “collective psychosis,” and this is what is presently happening in the United States of America (please see my book *The Madness of George W. Bush: A Reflection of our Collective Psychosis*, to read the first chapter, click <http://awakeninthedream.com/georgew.html>).

The underlying culture and field in which and from which we dissociate conspires with us in our betrayal of ourselves. We are in-formed by and receive feedback from the abusive family system, in this case, our nation, that it is not OK and actually quite dangerous to be ourselves, which only reinforces the trauma, ad infinitum. Part of the abuse in our country is that, like an unrestrained malignant narcissist, the criminal enterprise that controls our government has let it be known that it will destroy anyone who tries to stand up to it (please see my article *George Bush is a Malignant Narcissist* <http://www.awakeninthedream.com/bushnarc.html>). This threat itself is a form of terrorism, as it creates terror in US. As a result, for the ordinary person, the utter evil of what is playing out in our country is better left unsaid, so as to not cause trouble or rock the boat, which, Titanic-like, is sinking due to our passivity and silence.

When the horror is so overwhelming, we become alien to ourselves and deny our own experience. We then deny our denial and fall into the depraved state of lying and actually believing our own lies. This is a state of complete and utter self-deception. When we are in denial, we are in the perverse state of fooling ourselves, while pretending we are not fooling ourselves. This is to have fallen into a diabolically self-perpetuating feedback loop, an infinite regression in which we deny that we are denying and then we deny that, and on and on, ad infinitum. This is called “the state of being in denial,” which is the 51st state in the US.

Once we fall into the state of denial, we become invested in not only keeping ourselves asleep but we also seek out others in the same state of denial with whom to join forces. Once our mass unconsciousness gains enough self-generating momentum, our denial has an inductive, magnetic effect of entraining others into a similar state of unconsciousness as our own. Our somnambulism has a bewitching effect on others, while at the same time their unconsciousness strengthens our denial, in a self-reinforcing web of mutual conditioning. Falling into and supporting each other's collective denial, we become infected by, while concurrently infecting the field around us with a self-created, but very contagious, psychological “virus.”

This is an immaterial, psychic “bug” that insinuates itself into and operates through the psyche by distorting and manipulating our perceptions so as to feed itself, while at the same time veiling that it is doing this so as to keep itself invisible. Jung never tired of warning us that psychic epidemics such as this, which spread and replicate themselves through our unconscious blind spots, were the greatest danger facing humanity. In a crazy-making loop that both produces and is an expression of madness, the denial in the underlying field feeds our denial, while at the same time our denial feeds the denial in the underlying field.

When we live in the state of denial, we are investing all of our psychic energy into a lie to protect ourselves from the awful shock of stepping out of our denial and consciously experiencing both the lie that we have been living and the reality we have been avoiding. Once our denial becomes invested with enough energy, a counter-incentive to step out of our denial arises, as we become highly motivated in sustaining the lie that is fundamental to our denial, for the trauma of consciously realizing the perverse state we have fallen into is too much for us to bear. Once our denial solidifies its reign, it literally rules over us, as we become obedient to it, as if we are its slaves and it is our master. When we repress something from our consciousness, we unwittingly invest it with power over us. Once we become sufficiently corrupted by our denial, we become dedicated to preserving it at all costs. Once we become tied, attached and bound to our denial, our entire modus operandi is to do whatever it takes to continue the charade of hiding from ourselves.

At a certain point, we literally become taken over, as if possessed, by our compulsion to avoid relationship with ourselves. We are then not able to help ourselves from compulsively acting out our unconscious denial, a perverse state for which we are ultimately responsible. Being in the state of denial, we are not in our right mind, and we are not even home in our own bodies. In this state, we can be of no help to either ourselves or to others, as we ourselves are the ones increasingly in need of help. Having fallen into a truly pathological state, we have become addicted to our denial, which we then embody and act out in our lives, as our denial continually in-forms us. Once this pathological process develops a sufficient inner sovereignty, it “colonizes” our psyche and we fall into becoming an unwitting instrument for what is called “evil” to act itself out in our world.

We have then attained what political philosopher Hannah Arendt saw as the fundamental characteristic of evil: the incapacity for thought. When we are in denial, the primary thought we can't think about is ourselves, which is to say we are incapable of self-reflection, as if we are not able to bend around backwards and see our reflection in the mirror of life. When we are in the state of denial, we are “not ourselves,” but rather, are “beside ourselves.” Instead of associating with all of our-selves, we imagine that we exist separately from the world out there, of which we are desperately afraid. This is an outer reflection of the inner process of being terrified of a part of ourselves, which is the dynamic which precipitated our denial in the first place.

As this inner pathological state takes us over, it develops a seemingly autonomous life of its own, animating and playing itself out in the most unconscious, and hence, destructive of ways, creating violence, abuse, and terror, whether it be within ourselves or in the outside world.

Anyone who points out or reflects back the pathology is demonized, pathologized, criminalized, and seen as the enemy. People in denial react violently when they see someone who does not share their denial, as it secretly reminds them of how sick they are.

When we are in the state of denial, we lose our ability to discern what is really going on. For example, when we are in denial, we are unable to discern whether or not others are in denial. When other people are actually in denial, it has a resonance with our own denial and, insanely, we see them as expressing the truth and being enlightened. At the same time, people who aren't in denial we see as being crazy. When we are in denial, we live in an inverted world, blindly imagining others to be the ones who are blind. We project the face of our own unconscious onto the world, which simply mirrors it back to us, confirming our delusion.

To step out of our denial is the scariest thing imaginable to the part of us that is in denial. To snap out of our denial is a form of "death," as it is to "die" to the fantasy world in which we imagined we lived. This is why people will "defend" their denial to the point of death, often in the most aggressively "offensive" of ways. People in denial will actually create "explosions" in the outside world as distractions so as to protect themselves from inwardly "implosion" at the sight of themselves. People cherish their illusions, which they hold dear to themselves, as if their illusions are their most sacred possession. To be in denial is to live in an illusion, and the system which produced this pathological state is only too happy to configure itself to support the abuse by supplying all the evidence needed to strengthen the denial and confirm the illusion. Our denial allows the abuse to continue to be perpetrated and perpetuate itself, while at the same time the abuse facilitates our denial in a reciprocally co-arising, circular (as compared to linear) and self-generating feedback loop that is truly pathological. When we are in denial, the system which precipitated our denial gets dreamed up to collude with, nourish, nurture and justify our denial, creating a psychological black hole: a true dis-ease of the psyche, in which no light escapes or is emitted.

The Unearthing: An Awakening Has Arrived

Manuel Valenzuela

The suppression of truth has long been among the highest priorities for the upper echelons of power and authority. For a minority elite that clings to power by the manipulation of the masses using an omnipresent cocktail of lies, deception, mass-produced ignorance and ingrained propaganda, the destruction of truth is an essential method of control. It is a formula that has worked to unmitigated success for the elite throughout history, whether the shadows of power stretch from ancient pyramids, marble temples, castles, mansions or halls of governance. Those holding the levers of power and control understand, better than most, that the dissemination of truths to a blind majority could spell the end of their reign, for truth brings sight to the blind.

These entities understand that truth is like a massive breath of fresh mountain air, pure and energizing, refreshing and invigorating, and that once inhaled by the masses, the balance of control can easily be disturbed and seriously threatened. Revolution of the many against the few oftentimes results, mostly to the enormous detriment of the powerful. They know that widespread circulation of the truths of what they have done in the past and are at present doing to the majority could light a flame onto a massive cauldron overflowing with dry kindling, sparking an enormous inferno of anger. Truth, in short, could lead to an awakening of hundreds of millions of human beings who for too long have had their minds held captive by the instruments of control used by those in power.

It is for this reason that truth is hidden, fought, ridiculed, destroyed and suppressed, why it is emaciated and torn to bits, why its advocates and defenders are smeared, ostracized and silenced, their reputations purposefully shattered, their lives picked apart and criticized. Truth must never be allowed to gain momentum or be exposed, which is why truth seekers must be made examples. Those in power will fight tooth and nail to destroy the messenger of truth, yet will never answer the questions or investigate the proposition presented by the message itself. Better that truth remain a microcosm of darkness in the American subconscious rather than it be allowed to sprout from the fertile soils of free-thinking minds.

Thus, as can readily be seen on a daily basis, both in the corporatist stenograph called the mass media and inside the halls of governance – for those willing to open their eyes and see, for those that have escaped the slavery of thought – is the perpetual attempt to make extinct all traces of inconvenient truths that might upset the long-standing balance that maintains the few in mastery over the many. The crimes of the elite over the masses must never see light of day. Truth, therefore, is and always will remain the true enemy of those in power; lies, propaganda, manipulation and ignorance are their weapons, the methods by which they have always ruled over the masses, the master key to control.

So it comes as no surprise that over the course of the last sixty years, since the inception of television, since the rise of the military-industrial-energy complex, since the growth and corruption of the corporate world, since the marriage of government with the corporate world, better known as fascism, that all manner of truth has been hidden in the deep recesses of America's black holes and tight-locked vaults.

In order to keep the beautiful minds of Americans from being distracted, upset or informed, in order to prevent truth from ever reaching the cauldron of mass awakening, the corporate media has purposefully been mutated into a propaganda and manipulation machine unlike the world has ever seen. Mass media has become, in the course of a few decades, a tool of dumbed-down distraction, suppression of truth, creator of ignorance, disseminator of disinformation and a stenograph for the corporatist elements that rule America. It is, quite simply, the elite's evolved mechanism of control that maintains the masses in quiet and unknown servitude.

There is no innocence and altruism with the corporate media; it has been designed for one purpose: to control human beings, from cradle to grave, to the dictates of our corporatist masters, making us over the course of our lives obedient consumers, producers and slaves to the corporate world. It has been designed, through years of trial and error, to make of us all a grandiose army of good Americans, compliant, acquiescent, passive and ignorant, a nation of serfs in feudal servitude to corporatist lords.

Make no mistake, to those governing over our lives, we are nothing but the cogs in the system of consumer and producer, nothing but the energy that feeds the giant engine of mass production and consumption that only serves to fatten the wallets of the corporatist world and the levers of control over our lives. Thus, inconvenient truths about their methods of control and manipulation will always be massacred in favor of charades and fictions, in favor of propaganda and dumbed down infotainment, lest the truth of what America has become be allowed to permeate throughout the dormant minds of 300 million citizens.

Yet truth always has a way of unearthing itself from the mass graves of suppression, for its virtue, and by consequence its pursuit, is inherent in the minds and spirit of billions of human beings. The thirst for truth is as human as the hunger for justice. It is an absolute of the human experience, especially in the wake of lies and known deceptions, when those in power seek to squash it or when evidence proves incontrovertible. Thus, truth is a passion sought when experience, knowledge, research and the naked eye tell us that the lies and deceptions bombarded into our lives do not make sense. For truth does not lie, as evidence and facts and the unmistakable laws of science point in a certain direction, toward a certain reality. Truth in the usurpation of justice compels the human spirit to pursue it unequivocally, in an attempt to right what has been made wrong, to restore justice where it has been trampled.

Human beings do not respond well to lies and deception, and the bigger the lie, the more malignant the truth, the greater is the quest to uncover what has been hidden, especially when the truth is as self-evident to common sense as to logic and reason and analysis and research. Humankind, by and large, craves the truth in everyday life, detesting lies, charades and manipulations. We also seek the concepts of justice where injustice dwells, desiring to bring justice to a world we know is full of injustice. The quest for truth and justice compels us forward, brings us strength, mobilizes energy and unites truth seekers.

It is our love for humankind, our respect for life, our desire for a better world, our hatred of wickedness and injustice and deception and all the evils the truth we seek has created and brought forth that compels in us the responsibility to bring honor and dignity to those whose voice and energy have been silenced, to bring justice to criminals and murderers and malfasant evildoers, to restore balance to a tilting world, to awaken those yet to see the grand lie for what it is, to bring bravery to those still too frightened of the consequences of knowing the truth.

Catastrophe of a Post 9/11 World

Most importantly, perhaps, those that already know the truth – as horrible as its reality is, as mind bending as its ramifications are – those whose minds have been emancipated beyond the lies, distortions, manipulations and massive propaganda, fight to expose the truth and free the minds of tens of millions of Americans because they see what it has wrought, what it has spawned in the name of every American citizen. They see new Pearl Harbors leading to perpetual war and perpetual fear for perpetual profit, power and control. They see a century-long war on concocted dark skinned enemies from alien lands, conveniently scapegoated as America's new bogeyman, conveniently living atop billions of barrels of petroleum, their lands strategic and vital for the aspirations of a delusional empire. They see the purposeful murder of their fellow countrymen as the marketing ploy by which empire seekers unleash hell on Earth, resulting in untold death, suffering and ever-growing levels of hatred against American citizens.

They see the destruction of America as we know it. They see the decimation of the Bill of Rights and the Constitution, the shredding of civil liberties and rights, the rape of Lady Liberty, the extinction of habeas corpus and due process. They see a future saturated by a police state, a place where neighbor spies on neighbor, where children are programmed in the tenets of fascism. They see once cherished freedoms criminalized, the ability to think freely eviscerated. They see a nation controlled by perpetual fear, with a corporatist government controlling how we think and act using color-coded alerts and false alarms designed to terrorize the citizenry into giving up even more freedoms. They see a century of psychological war upon the American people by our own leaders, using their power to concoct wars based on lies, using their control over us to murder hundreds of thousands of human beings, all the while imprisoning us further in the cages of authoritarian rule.

They see America's rural and urban poor, young in mind and body, conscripted into perpetuity, their destiny becoming cannon fodder for their corporatist masters, dying not for freedom's ring or democracy's protection but for protecting pipelines, spigots and the ballooning profits of the military industrial energy complex. The Truth Movement sees thousands of lives once promising and full of talent wasted killing innocent people, sent to die unprotected into a burning inferno, believing lies of protecting freedoms and bringing democracy, returning home maimed in body and mind, deep psychological fissures ripping through their minds and lives, left to rot in the halls of apathy and corruption.

What truth seekers see, and which so many so-called left gatekeepers, writers, reporters and intellectuals fail to comprehend, is that the inside job of 9/11, for that is what this truth is called, allowed predetermined and planned wars of aggression to become reality. It allowed a decades-long plan of perpetual war and fear and empire building and mass murder to begin, and continue relentlessly, leaving the American people as the sacrificial lambs to blowback, karmic terror and untold sacrifice. The new Pearl Harbor, for that is what 9/11 was, was but the first bomb to explode in a psychological war aimed at the American people. Indeed, 9/11 was designed, like the Reichstag fire in 1930's Nazi Germany, and as other false flag operations throughout history, as the first salvo in a war of propaganda and fear upon the American people. Without exposing the criminality, 9/11 will not be the last attack by the enemy within against the American people. If left unchecked, America as we know it will not survive. Indeed, if not stopped, we will not see peace and security in our lifetimes. This post 9/11 world vision will never cease, taking us all down with it, including our children, who will one day wonder what freedoms and rights were.

It was 9/11, after all, that shifted our world into what we have today. Without 9/11, the American people would have never become the crazed and hypnotized warmongers that they inevitably became, allowing criminals and murderers in government to invade, attack and occupy lands that had no reason for attack. Hypnotized inside the clouds of 9/11 and easily manipulated by terror and insecurity, the American people gave the criminals and murderers in power free reign to murder and pillage and rape and torture and destroy in our name. 9/11 allowed Bush and his cabal of criminality carte blanche to do as they pleased, unrestricted and unquestioned, given power never before granted a group of individuals. This impact on the American populace 9/11 was specifically designed to achieve.

With the invasions of Afghanistan and Iraq having been green-lighted many months before 9/11 even came into existence, the criminals and murderers in the military-industrial-energy complex and the pro Likud/AIPAC neoconservative needed a catalyzing event that would mobilize the American citizenry, turning hundreds of millions of ordinary, peace loving people into the united voice demanding vengeance and death to the Arab world. Quite conveniently, those lands the cabal of criminality sought to conquer were the exact ones the concocted enemy was from.

Through 9/11, the American population would enter a submissive, acquiescent and warlike state, becoming the army of good Americans our rulers have always dreamed of possessing. 9/11 allowed America and her people to be hypnotized by a catalyzing event that was played and replayed hundreds of times, thereby ingraining itself into the American psyche. Propaganda in the corporatist controlled mass media made sure to hammer down its horrors, its wickedness, its masterminds and its reasons. A new enemy had been created; new excuses were discovered as to why they had attacked us: they hate us for our freedoms, for our democracy, for our way of life the talking heads exhaled. Fear on steroids was allowed to permeate the airwaves, as always making sure to emphasize who the enemy was, as always hiding uncomfortable truths from ever coming to light. It was our government, not the so-called evildoers, that since 2001 has blitzkrieged terror into our daily lives. It is it, more than anything else, that is the real terrorist organization. Much of the world already acknowledges this reality.

The massive propaganda machine of the corporatist world was unleashed, night and day, on a bewildered and frightened populace. The war on terror had been born, an enemy had been found to replace the dreaded Communist, and scapegoats were chosen to have the fires of hell unleashed onto their lands. In a matter of days after 9/11, the dreaded Patriot Act was introduced and soon passed, at once decimating many civil liberties and rights of Americans. Yet nobody bothered to ask how a document so extensive and complicated, so massive in its regulations and laws, was written in such a short amount of time after 9/11. For if it had been written before 9/11, as many suspect, would this not invite suggestions of premeditation and foreknowledge, of a desire to destroy liberties and freedoms, waiting patiently for a new Pearl Harbor to do just that?

With the oil fields of Iraq and quite possibly Iran having been carved up and selected for exploitation by American and British oil conglomerates months before 9/11, in the Cheney Energy Task Force, with the Taliban given an ultimatum to open up Afghanistan to American oil and gas pipelines, with a choice of either riches or war in the months prior to 9/11, and with the Bush Administration negligently unconcerned with terrorism prior to 9/11, yet fully committed to war with Iraq, as per PNAC and the neocons, only the arrival of a new Pearl Harbor was needed to implement what has since occurred.

With the arrival of 9/11 the world changed for the worse, with the United States expanding its power, reaching with imperial ambitions abroad while extending its corporatist tentacles at home. The PNAC blueprint was implemented and to this day continues to guide many of the policies the Bush administration has in place. With so many PNAC members, known as neocons, at one time or another given powerful positions in the Bush administration, with so many of these criminals and murderers roaming the halls of governance, it is easy to see how 9/11 became a new Pearl Harbor, a new catalyzing event that transformed the American psyche. It is easy to see how a new Pearl Harbor became the invasion and occupation of both Afghanistan and Iraq. Only the laughable incompetence and ignorance of the neocons, along with the massive, well organized and brutal resistance of Iraq's freedom fighters, have prevented the timely continuation of the neocon agenda.

An Unearthing

The events and reality of 9/11 can no longer be questioned. The only conspiracy theory is the "official" one, for it makes no sense. To believe the official story, the official "truth", one would have to suspend reality, entering into Fantasyland, drinking the corporate world's kool-aid, believing a government that has not told one truth in almost seven years of office. To believe the corporatist version, one would have to overlook the myriad number of truths, or coincidences, any of which standing alone disprove the official story. To believe a government, and by complicity the mass media, that has not once told a truth about any of its activities, choosing instead to ignore, omit and hide facts that do not validate the official story, choosing to smear and taint the lives of truth seekers instead of confronting and trying to challenge their truth, cherry picking facts much like they cherry picked facts on Iraq before it was invaded, is to purposefully decide to live in delusion, as if the official conspiracy theory is sacrosanct. The laws of common sense, physics, logic, reason, and of evidence, both physical and of countless witnesses, not to mention the knowledge of experts, would have to be abandoned and rewritten for the official conspiracy theory to survive scrutiny.

The destruction and implosion of the Twin Towers, falling into their own footprints, along with the destruction of WTC 7 by controlled demolition on 9/11 is now a self evident truth. This truth is no conspiracy theory, as the corporatist media would have us believe. It is quite obvious and apparent, to those whose eyes have been opened and whose minds have found freedom of thought. Sure, it is not easy to fathom that the government of your nation, the one you grew up thinking was altruistic and noble, good and virtuous, free of evil and wickedness, could ever be capable of destroying the lives of 3,000 of your fellow citizens in order to have an excuse for perpetual war, perpetual fear and perpetual profit that will benefit only a small elite.

Unfortunately, the government of your childhood and of your delusions is one that has been inculcated into your head from birth through brainwashing and propaganda. In reality, it is a government that cares not one ounce for you or your fellow citizens, preferring to golf and fundraise while your city drowns in massive Katrina created floods, leaving you to rot in the squalor of sewage and human chaos. The government of your youth and dreams is one that has firebombed entire cities to rubble, killing hundreds of thousands in Germany and Japan. It is the only government to use nuclear weapons on foreign cities. It is the government that murdered 3 million Vietnamese and planted the seeds for Pol Pot to kill millions of Cambodians. It is the government that has decimated democracy in the Philippines and Indonesia, helping to suppress freedoms, assisting in destroying hundreds of thousands of lives.

This government of your fantasy has never cared about democracy or freedom, over the last fifty years overthrowing more than fifty democratically elected leaders of nations, either by coup, assassination or economic measures. As always, of course, these democratically elected leaders are replaced by military juntas, dictators, strongmen and puppets of our choice, picked because of their fidelity foremost to American interests, not those of their people. This government of yours, the one incapable of murdering 3,000 of its own citizens for economic, strategic and financial motives, has participated in or contributed in the death of one to two million souls in Central and South America, either through its dictators or its sponsored death squads or paramilitary thugs, never allowing Latin America the opportunity to prosper.

The altruistic government you cherish cares so much about human rights and freedoms that its multitude number of dictators and puppets are renowned for their human rights violations and their suppression of civil liberties and freedoms. The Middle East, that land of the Arab you hate so much, is a cesspool of torture, abuses, human rights violations and lack of freedoms, all supported by the puppets, kings and dictators your government is in bed with. Your government is the government most despised around the world after the one in Israel, thanks to its newfound affection with torture, mass murder, the preemptive invasion and occupation of Iraq, the death of one million of its citizens, the displacement of two million more and the importation of hell on Earth into its streets. Through a war of choice, based on lies and criminality, a civil war ready to burst and engulf an entire region in flames is afoot, yet your altruistic government is on the verge of attacking Iran, a nation that poses no military threat to America.

Indeed, the government of our childhood and of our delusions cares nothing for its people. Simply look at the way it treats its heroes, its bravest, those maimed and injured and psychologically destroyed by war and its human wickedness. Simply admire the conditions at Walter Reid and at many other such places. Notice too how many Vietnam and now Iraq veterans roam the streets of our cities homeless, with no place to go, no job, no life, forced to confront the evils of war through firewater or narcotics. Look at a veteran's anguished face after he has been subjected to Agent Orange, or Depleted Uranium, or used as a lab rat or guinea pig in radiation experiments or anthrax vaccines and see how his body and mind deteriorate until he dies of what your government has subjected him to.

This government of the people, by the people and for the people, instead of using its vast wealth on education and healthcare and infrastructure and on providing decent jobs for its citizens, instead pillages the nation's treasury, sending billions upon billions to the war machine destroying one nation after another. These billions, of course, end up in the accounts of war profiteers and elites and the cronies of the cabal of criminality, quickly made to disappear into foreign bank accounts. You, on the other hand, are but an automaton to your government, castrated of free thought, saturated with brainwash education, made conformist, complacent and acquiescent, transformed into the ignorant sheeple trained to be the obedient mass consumer and producer that guides the entire system forward. Meanwhile, year after year education rots, healthcare is made worse, infrastructure depreciates and the overall well-being of the nation plummets. This, indeed, is your government.

The Pursuit of Truth

The unearthing of truth can be an unsettling proposition, causing bouts of anger and animosity towards the truth seeker. Denial and the closing of the mind to new truths usually follows, as does ridicule of truth, suppression of it and fighting of it until one day, in the not too distant future, beyond the morning horizon, a sunrise suddenly appears, full of radiant light, warm in its glow, powerful in its brightness, ready to guide you forward. This is the moment of truth, when one finally reaches toward the sky, his or her mind suddenly made clear, now unafraid of what the truth may mean for a once beautiful mind.

The truth you have been fighting suddenly becomes self evident, bringing you the freedom of thought, of mind, of thinking for yourself for the first time in your life. You have fought against and declared victory against the pathology of years of brainwashing, of constant bombardments of propaganda, of being told to always have unyielding faith and loyalty in governance. With the warm rays of truth, you are no longer a slave to the system, a mere robot regurgitating the thoughts implanted in your head by the monitor in your home. You can now see what years of conditioning have prevented you from knowing, of a government far different than the one you were made to believe in. It is a government of the elite, for the elite and by the elite, pursuing the interests of the elite and the corporate world that now controls the hallways of power.

In your own research and investigation, using the full powers of the Internet at your disposal, using the free thinking mind you were born with, you discover the self-evident reality of 9/11. You watch videos of towers imploding and collapsing, of WTC 7 being demolished masterfully, as if experts in controlled demolitions were at hand. You wonder why air defenses failed so miserably even when hundreds of times before everything worked to perfection. You wonder how one of the most protected buildings in the world was struck so easily and without defense; yet in one of the most secure buildings in the country not one clear video exists of footage supporting the official conspiracy theory. You read about and become aware of the plethora of inconsistencies in the official conspiracy theory, and you realized how taken together, nothing adds up. You ask yourself who benefited most from the 9/11 attacks, and suddenly enemies within our own shores rise from the World Trade Center's rubble.

In scanning the Internet for answers to government fictions you suspect of being lies, you see that the 9/11 Truth Movement is gaining momentum, gaining strength in numbers, for you see that more and more people are actually reading, observing, doing their own research and finding out that what they thought they knew simply does not and cannot possibly add up. You realize that more than one hundred million Americans doubt the official conspiracy theory, and that millions more are beginning to question whether or not it was an inside job. You see too that very few Americans, indeed, very few human beings, are aware that there even existed a WTC 7, and that it fell in perfect symmetry, into its own footprint, in classic controlled demolition style, even with minimal damage to its integrity, with insignificant fire damage. And you notice too that video for this demolition is readily available, from multiple angles, yet continues to be suppressed by the corporatist media, for reasons having to do with ignoring truth and disseminating the official fiction. Indeed, when WTC 7 is brought to the corporatist media's attention, it is quickly returned to the black hole of silence, as if its reality never existed.

You wonder why the corporatist media is so quick to go after the personal lives and personalities of those who question the official story while choosing to remain silent on the questions presented by the truth seekers. You see the corporatist media smear and try to destroy the credibility of those that challenge the official story, and you wonder what is it exactly that these stenographers have to hide. You wonder why no corporatist journalist or news organization has taken it upon themselves to conduct a thorough and intensive examination of the events of that day, and why only the official conspiracy theory is given relevance, as if it is sacrosanct, untouchable. Meanwhile, any other theories or realities, most of which have more basis in truth than the official story, are bashed as the stuff of conspiracy theorists, become pariahs and are never given the light of day. What does the corporatist media have to hide, you ask, and who are they protecting?

Your research leads you to the gatekeepers of the left, those that feel the need to chastise and ridicule the Truth Movement, as if they want to make names for themselves, as if only they are allowed to know the truth, as if their arrogance has led them to believe the Almighty speaks through them. You realize instead that these bozos know very little about anything, and many, while competent enough, got their voices only through nepotism and connections, not because of their egocentric ability. You notice how these individuals smear the movement and resort to name calling, yet fail to comprehensibly put together a valid refutation of the Truth Movement's claims. You understand their vested interests, you see through them, you decide to ignore them even though they provide a valuable tool in the discussion and debate of 9/11. After all, this is America, and everybody is entitled to freedoms of speech and thought and dissent and debate. You just hope these freedoms are not the next ones to disappear into oblivion.

Truth, invariably, is ridiculed, silenced, fought and eventually, accepted. The 9/11 Truth Movement is no different, for its foundation is constructed of solid granite, based on sound research and investigation. The Movement grows and grows and, no matter how hard the corporatist media and its agents try to slow down its momentum or halt it altogether, more and more Americans are becoming aware of its existence. The Movement cannot be silenced, for truth cannot be destroyed. What was done on 9/11 was a declaration of war against the American people, by internal enemies that have hijacked government, our freedoms and rights, and the Constitution. The Movement exists because it sees the dangers of leaving those in power unpunished, of doing nothing, of letting human wickedness continue. It flourishes because millions seek justice, truth and humanity, a better America, a better world.

Every act of criminality done in the past six years has as its genesis the horrific mass murder of 9/11. Every illegal war, every act of torture, illegal imprisonment, destruction of rights and freedoms, all mass murder done in America's name is attributed to the destruction of the Twin Towers. If the enemy within is capable of such barbarity, if they had the audacity to perpetuate the greatest crime in American history, all to implement their delusional vision, all to enrich and empower their fellow criminals, what is to say that they will not do it again, in greater capacity, killing in greater numbers? One more so-called attack on American soil will destroy the America that we have lived in and enjoy, the one we love and cherish, the one of internal freedoms and rights, of the pursuit of happiness, the one enjoyed by present day citizens.

Already American democracy and freedom are in their last throes, hemorrhaging from years of corporatist mutilation. Elections have become a sham, a farce. Freedoms are disappearing, becoming extinct. The enemy within wishes for nothing more than an authoritarian, fascist nation, the easier to make decisions, the easier to implement their vision, the easier to control the population. The enemy within detests democracy, and this we must understand. Democracy and freedom are enemies. Ask yourself who has been the organization destroying our

democracy and freedoms, our civil rights and liberties? Who has enacted Patriot Acts One and Two, who has destroyed due process, right to an attorney, habeas corpus? Who has tried to silence truth, dissent, protest and free speech? Who has made torture legal, false imprisonment necessary, confessions by torture legal and evidence concocted through hearsay or torture legal? Who has made it legal to spy on American citizens, opening our email accounts, overhearing our phone conversations, looking into our lives? It has not been the dreaded Arab evildoers. It has been the Bush administration. It has been your own government.

The truth is apparent, it can no longer be denied. The 9/11 Truth Movement thrives because it has truth and a quest for justice on its side. It has the power of the naked eye, for WTC 7 video footage cannot lie. It has physics, science, witnesses and research into government action and inaction. It has technology, experts and whistleblowers. It has honor, integrity and momentum. Of course there will always be 30 percent of the population that will never be made to believe in the criminality of its right wing heroes, even if the button for the controlled demolitions is put in front of their face. These 30 percent are sheeple, individuals comprising the army of good Americans, the most ignorant and closed minded people around. The Movement will never convince them. The challenge is reaching the millions who do have free-thinking minds, those who can put common sense and science and reason together.

The challenge ahead will not be easy, but the powerful never make it easy. They never grant the masses anything of value. We have to fight for it ourselves. Every civil liberty and right and freedom gained in the last century was achieved through the Power of the People. This Movement will be no different. Those that are our masters concede nothing, they take everything. They will throw obstacles at us, they will threaten and ridicule us, try to destroy our reputations and integrity. They will use the full power of the corporatist media to try and divide us, to divert us, to deceive us, to manipulate us. United we stand strong, divided we will fall. Strength in numbers, strength in conviction, it is time to bring these criminals and murderers to justice.

For what is braver, questioning authority or following it? What is more convenient, thinking for oneself or believing only what television wants you to believe? Who is more a patriot, the person who challenges the blatant lies of leaders in times of trouble or the person who obediently believes everything he is told him by a government that has not once told the truth? What is a true patriot, those that read the Constitution and seek to bring a bad government into account or someone who stands next to the flag and blindly follows the known criminality of the Commander in Chief? It is those that dissent and protest and debate, because they love their freedoms and rights, while being called traitor and treasonous and terrorist that are the true patriots of America. There are millions of them across the land, fighting to expose the greatest crime ever committed upon the American people, fighting to restore what has been and continues to be lost.

It is they who show the bravery and courage and strength and willingness to tolerate the smears and ridicule that are slowly, but surely, helping to return America to its rightful owners, namely, the People. It is these Truth Seekers whom history will remember as the ones responsible for ridding America of its greatest enemies, those that lie embedded within our shores, inside the cabal of criminality. When the day comes, and it soon will, when 9/11 is exposed for the inside job that it was, America and her people, and by consequence the world entire will smell the clear, pure mountain air of a truth too long hidden, of a sunrise giving birth to a new nation, a restored nation, returned to her People, where truth and justice prevails, where the Power of the People is omnipotent, where the interests of the People prevail, where criminals and murderers sit waiting in the gallows, waiting for the justice of 6,000 dead Americans and 1,000,000 dead Iraqis to be reclaimed.

The restoration of America thus begins with truth. Its unearthing is underway. America's awakening will inevitably follow.